This is the authors' final version of the contribution published as:

Blöschl, G., Hall, J., Parajka, J., Perdigão, R.A.P., Merz, B., Arheimer, B., Aronica, G.T., Bilibashi, A., Bonacci, O., Borga, M., Čanjevac, I., Castellarin, A., Chirico, G.B., Claps, P., Fiala, K., Frolova, N., Gorbachova, L., Gül, A., Hannaford, J., Harrigan, S., Kireeva, M., Kiss, A., Kjeldsen, T.R., Kohnová, S., Koskela, J.J., Ledvinka, O., Macdonald, N., Mavrova-Guirguinova, M., Mediero, L., **Merz, R.**, Molnar, P., Montanari, A., Murphy, C., Osuch, M., Ovcharuk, V., Radevski, I., Rogger, M., Salinas, J.L., Sauquet, E., Šraj, M., Szolgay, J., Viglione, A., Volpi, E., Wilson, D., Zaimi, K., Živković, N. (2017): Changing climate shifts timing of European floods *Science* **357** (6351), 588 – 590

The publisher's version is available at:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.aan2506



Title: Changing climate shifts timing of European floods

Authors:

Günter Blöschl^{1*}, Julia Hall¹, Juraj Parajka¹, Rui A. P. Perdigão¹, Bruno Merz², Berit Arheimer³, Giuseppe T. Aronica⁴, Ardian Bilibashi⁵, Ognjen Bonacci⁶, Marco Borga⁷, Ivan Čanjevac⁸, Attilio Castellarin⁹, Giovanni B. Chirico¹⁰, Pierluigi Claps¹¹, Károly Fiala¹², Natalia Frolova¹³, Liudmyla Gorbachova¹⁴, Ali Gül¹⁵, Jamie Hannaford¹⁶, Shaun Harrigan¹⁶, Maria Kireeva¹³, Andrea Kiss¹, Thomas R. Kjeldsen¹⁷, Silvia Kohnová¹⁸, Jarkko J. Koskela¹⁹, Ondrej Ledvinka²⁰, Neil Macdonald²¹, Maria Mavrova-Guirguinova²², Luis Mediero²³, Ralf Merz²⁴, Peter Molnar²⁵, Alberto Montanari⁹, Conor Murphy²⁶, Marzena Osuch²⁷, Valeryia Ovcharuk²⁸, Ivan Radevski²⁹, Magdalena Rogger¹, José L. Salinas¹, Eric Sauquet³⁰, Mojca Šraj³¹, Jan Szolgay¹⁸, Alberto Viglione¹, Elena Volpi³², Donna Wilson³³, Klodian Zaimi³⁴, and Nenad Živković³⁵

Affiliations:

¹Institute of Hydraulic Engineering and Water Resources Management, Technische Universität Wien, Vienna, Austria.

²Helmholtz Centre Potsdam, GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Potsdam, Germany. ³Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute, Norrköping, Sweden.

⁴Department of Engineering, University of Messina, Messina, Italy.

⁵CSE – Control Systems Engineer, Renewable Energy Systems & Technology, Tirana, Albania.

⁶Faculty of Civil Engineering, Architecture and Geodesy, Split University, Split, Croatia.

⁷Department of Land, Environment, Agriculture and Forestry, University of Padova, Padua, Italy.

⁸University of Zagreb, Faculty of Science, Department of Geography, Zagreb, Croatia.

⁹Department of Civil, Chemical, Environmental and Materials Engineering (DICAM), Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy.

¹⁰Department of Agricultural Sciences, University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy.

¹¹Department Environment, Land and Infrastructure Engineering (DIATI), Politecnico di Torino, Turin, Italy.

¹²Lower Tisza District Water Directorate, Szeged, Hungary.

¹³Department of Land Hydrology, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia.

¹⁴Department of Hydrological Research, Ukrainian Hydrometeorological Institute, Kiev, Ukraine.

¹⁵Department of Civil Engineering, Dokuz Eylul University, Izmir, Turkey.

¹⁶Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Wallingford, Oxfordshire, UK.

¹⁷Department of Architecture and Civil Engineering, University of Bath, Bath, UK.

¹⁸Slovak University of Technology in Bratislava, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Department of Land and Water Resources Management, Radlinského 11, 810 05 Bratislava, Slovakia.

¹⁹Finnish Environment Institute, Helsinki, Finland.

²⁰Czech Hydrometeorological Institute, Prague, Czechia.

²¹Department of Geography and Planning & Institute of Risk and Uncertainty, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK.

²²University of Architecture, Civil Engineering and Geodesy, Sofia, Bulgaria.

²³Department of Civil Engineering: Hydraulic, Energy and Environment, Technical University of Madrid, Madrid, Spain.

²⁴Department for Catchment Hydrology, Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research – UFZ, Halle, Germany.

²⁵Institute of Environmental Engineering, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland.

²⁶ Irish Climate Analysis and Research Units (ICARUS), Department of Geography, Maynooth University, Ireland.

²⁷Institute of Geophysics Polish Academy of Sciences, Department of Hydrology and Hydrodynamics, Warsaw, Poland.

²⁸Hydrometeorological Institute, Odessa State Environmental University, Odessa, Ukraine.

²⁹Institute of Geography, Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, Ss. Cyril and Methodius University, Skopje, Republic of Macedonia.

³⁰Irstea, UR HHLY, Hydrology-Hydraulics Research Unit, Lyon, France.

³¹Faculty of Civil and Geodetic Engineering, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia.

³²Department of Engineering, University Roma Tre, Rome, Italy.

³³Norwegian Water Resources and Energy Directorate, Oslo, Norway.

³⁴Institute of Geo-Sciences, Energy, Water and Environment (IGEWE), Polytechnic University of Tirana, Tirana, Albania.

³⁵ University of Belgrade, Faculty of Geography, Belgrade, Serbia.

*Corresponding author. Email: bloeschl@hydro.tuwien.ac.at

1 Abstract:

2	A warming climate is expected to impact river floods; however, no consistent climate change
3	signal in observed flood magnitudes has been identified so far. We have analyzed the timing of
4	river floods in Europe over the last five decades using a pan-European database from 4729
5	observational hydrometric stations, and find clear patterns of change in flood timing. Warmer
6	temperatures have led to earlier spring snowmelt floods throughout North-Eastern Europe;
7	delayed winter storms associated with polar warming have led to later winter floods around the
8	North Sea; and some sectors of the Mediterranean Coast and earlier soil moisture maxima have
9	led to earlier winter floods in Western Europe. Our results highlight the existence of a clear
10	climate signal in flood observations at the continental scale.
11	
12	
13	
14	One Sentence Summary:
15	We find that the observed timing of floods has shifted consistently in many parts of Europe over
16	the past 50 years as a result of a changing climate.
17	

18 Main Text:

River flooding affects more people worldwide than any other natural hazard, with an estimated 19 global annual average loss of US \$104 billion (1). Damages are expected to increase due to 20 21 economic growth and climate change (2, 3). The intensification of the water cycle due to a warming climate is projected to change the magnitude, frequency and timing of river floods (3). 22 However, existing studies have been unable to identify a consistent climate change signal in 23 flood magnitudes (4). Identification of a large-scale climate change signal in flood observations 24 has been hampered by the existence of many processes controlling floods, including 25 precipitation, soil moisture and snow, by non-climatic drivers of flood change such as land use 26 change and river training, and by the inconsistency of data sets and their limited spatial extents 27 (4, 5). It has been proposed that considering the seasonal timing of floods as a fingerprint of 28 29 climate effects on floods may be a way to avoid some of those complications (6, 7). For example, in cold regions, earlier snowmelt due to warmer temperatures leads to earlier spring floods (6), 30 and this climate-related signal may be less confounded by non-climatic drivers than flood 31 32 magnitudes themselves because of the strong seasonality of climate. While the changing timing of floods has been studied at local scale in Nordic and Baltic countries (8-10), no consistent 33 analysis exists at the European scale. 34

Here we analyze a large data set of flood observations in Europe to assess whether a changing climate has shifted the timing of river floods in the last five decades. Our analysis is based on river discharge or water level observations from 4729 hydrometric stations in 38 European countries for the period 1960-2010. For each station, we use a series consisting of the dates of occurrence of the highest peak in any calendar year. We define the average timing of the floods by the average date on which floods have occurred during the observation period. We then estimate the trend in the timing of the floods using the Theil-Sen slope estimator (11) and the 42 long-term evolution using a 10-year moving average filter. Finally, we analyze the change signal 43 of three potential drivers of flood changes in a similar fashion: the middle date of the maximum 44 7-day precipitation; the middle day of the month with the highest soil moisture; and the middle 45 day of the first seven days in a year with air temperature above 0° C as a proxy for spring 46 snowmelt and snowfall-to-rain transition.

Our data show a clear shift in the timing of floods in Europe in the past 50 years (Fig. 1). 47 The regionally interpolated trend patterns shown in Fig.1s range from a -13 days per decade 48 towards earlier floods to +9 days towards later floods, which translates into total shifts of -6549 50 and +45 days, respectively, of linear trends over the entire 50 year period. The local, station specific, trends (Fig. S2) are lager, but reflect smaller scale rather than regional scale processes. 51 The changes are most consistent in North-Eastern Europe (region 1 in Fig. 1) where 81% of the 52 stations show a shift towards earlier floods (50% of the stations by more than -8 days / 50 yrs). 53 The changes are largest in Western Europe along the North Atlantic Coast from Portugal to 54 England (region 3) where 50% of the stations show a shift towards earlier floods by at least 16 55 days (25% of the stations by more than 36 days). Around the North Sea (region 2, South-Western 56 Norway, the Netherlands, Denmark and Scotland) 50% of the stations show a shift towards later 57 58 floods by more than 7 days. In some parts of the Mediterranean Coast (region 4, North-Eastern Adriatic Coast, North-Eastern Spain), there is a shift towards later floods (50% of the stations by 59 more than 6 days). Apart from the large-scale change patterns described for the four regions 60 61 above, smaller-scale patterns of changes in flood timing can be identified...

62



63

68

Fig. 1. Observed trends of river flood timing in Europe (1960-2010). Red indicates earlier floods, blue later floods (days per decade). 1-4 indicate regions with distinct drivers. [1] North-Eastern Europe: earlier snowmelt. [2] North Sea region: later winter storms. [3] Western Europe along the Atlantic Coast: earlier soil moisture maximum. [4] parts of the Mediterranean Coast: stronger Atlantic influence in winter.

In order to infer the causes of these changes in timing, we focused on six sub-regions or hotspots, where changes in flood timing are particularly clear (Fig. S2, Table S2). Since floods are the result of the seasonal interplay of precipitation, soil moisture and snow processes (12) we analyzed the temporal evolutions of these variables and compared them to those of the floods (Fig. 2A-2F). In Southern Sweden (Fig. 2A) and in the Baltics (Fig. 2B), floods are mainly due to spring snowmelt (9, 10). The temporal evolution of flood timing therefore closely follows that of snowmelt, shifting from late March to February (green and orange lines in Fig. 2A, 2B).

Earlier snowmelt is known to be driven by both local temperature increases and a decreasing 76 frequency of advection of arctic air masses (13). The Baltics are topographically less shielded 77 from these air masses than Southern Sweden, which is reflected by larger variations in the timing 78 of snowmelt in the 1990s. In South-Western Norway (Fig. 2C) precipitation maxima at the end 79 of the year generate floods around the same time, since there is little subsurface water storage 80 capacity there due to the prevalence of shallow soils. Changes in the North Atlantic Oscillation 81 (NAO) since 1980 (14) may have resulted in a delayed arrival of heavy winter precipitation, with 82 maxima shifting from October to December. These NAO anomalies have been less pronounced 83 84 since the early 2000s and which may have resulted in a slight reduction of the shift in flood and precipitation timing to November. The floods follow closely the timing of extreme precipitation 85 (Fig. 2C), which strongly suggests a causal link. The changes in the NAO may be related to Polar 86 warming, among many other factors, although the role of anthropogenic effects still is uncertain 87 (15, 16). In Southern England (Fig. 2D), the subsurface water storage capacity tends to be much 88 larger than in coastal Norway. The maximum rainfall, which occurs in autumn, therefore tends to 89 get stored, and soil moisture and groundwater tables continuously increase until they reach a 90 maximum in winter. Sustained winter rainfall on saturated soils then produces the largest floods 91 92 in winter. Therefore, the flood timing in Southern England is more closely associated with the timing of maximum soil moisture than with the timing of extreme precipitation (17). The 93 variations in flood timing in North-Western Iberia (Fig. 2E) are similar to those of Southern 94 95 England, although precipitation there occurs more in the winter, so extreme precipitation and maximum soil moisture (driven by sustained precipitation) are more closely aligned. Along the 96 Northern Adriatic Coast (Fig. 2F), large-scale influences by the Atlantic Ocean condition 97 98 Adriatic meso-scale cyclonic activity, which produces heavy precipitation towards the end of the

year (18). Meridional shifts in storm tracks have increased atmospheric flow from the Atlantic to
the Mediterranean in winter (19), leading to extreme precipitation and floods to peak later in the
season (Fig. 2F).



102

Fig. 2. Long-term temporal evolution of timing of floods and their drivers for six hotspots in Europe. Southern Sweden (A), Baltics (B), South-Western Norway (C), Southern England (D), North-Western Iberia (E), Adriatic Coast (F). Timing of observed floods (green), 7-day maximum precipitation (purple), snowmelt indicator (orange), and timing of modeled maximum soil moisture (blue). Line shows median timing over the entire hotspot, bands indicate variability of timing within the year (\pm 0.5 circular standard deviation (Eq. 8). All data were subject to a 10-year moving average filter. Vertical axes show month of the year (June to May).

110

111 To further assist in the interpretation of trends in flood timing across Europe of Fig. 1, the 112 spatial pattern of the average flood timing (1960-2010) is presented in Fig. 3. The average timing of the floods varies gradually from the West to the East due to increasing continentality, and from the South to the North due to the increasing influence of snow processes. The effect of snow storage and melt at high altitudes, e.g. in the Alps and the Carpathians (reddish arrows in Fig. 3), is superimposed on this pattern. The spatial patterns of the average timing of potential drivers, and their trends, are shown in Fig. S3, S4, S5.

Throughout North-Eastern Europe (region 1 in Fig. 1), spring occurrence of snowmelt and 118 floods (yellow and green arrows in Fig. S4A and Fig. S3) combined with a warmer climate (Fig. 119 S4A) has led to earlier floods. In the region around the North Sea (region 2 in Fig. 1), extreme 120 precipitation and floods in the winter (blue arrows in Fig. S3A and Fig. 3) combined with a shift 121 in the timing of extreme winter precipitation (Fig. S3B) has led to later floods. In Western 122 Europe (region 3 in Fig. 1), winter occurrence of soil moisture maxima and floods (blue arrows 123 in Fig. S5A and Fig. 3) combined with a shift in the timing of soil moisture maxima (Fig. S5B) 124 has led to earlier floods. While region 3 shows a consistent behavior in flood timing changes, 125 closely aligned with those of soil moisture, the effect of changing storm tracks on precipitation 126 are different in Southern England and North-Western Iberia, due to the opposite effects of the 127 NAO. 128



Fig. 3. Observed average timing of river floods in Europe (1960-2010). Each arrow represents one hydrometric station (n=4421). Color and arrow direction indicate the average timing of floods (light blue: winter floods (DJF), green to yellow: spring floods (MAM), orange to red summer floods (JJA) and purple to dark blue autumn floods (SON)). Lengths of the arrows indicate the concentration of floods within a year (R=0 evenly distributed, R=1 all floods occur on the same date).

135

If the trends in flood timing continue, considerable economic and environmental consequences may arise, as society and ecosystems have adapted to the average within-year timing of floods. Later winter floods in catchments around the North Sea, for example, may reduce agricultural productivity due to softer ground for spring farming operations, higher soil compaction, enhanced erosion and direct crop damage (20). Spring floods occurring earlier in the season in North-Eastern Europe may limit the replenishment of reservoirs if managers expect later floods that never arrive, with substantial reductions in water supply availability, irrigation

143	and hydropower generation (21). Perhaps more importantly, this study identifies a clear climat				
144	change signal in flood observations at the continental scale using the timing of floods, which was				
145	not possible using flood magnitudes (4, 5, 22).				
146 147					
148	Ref	erences and Notes:			
149 150 151 152	1.	UNISDR, "Making Development Sustainable: The Future of Disaster Risk Management. Global Assessment Report on Disaster Risk Reduction" (Geneva, Switzerland: United Nations International Strategy for Disaster Reduction (UNISDR), 2015).			
153 154	2.	H. C. Winsemius <i>et al.</i> , Global drivers of future river flood risk. <i>Nat. Clim. Chang.</i> 6 , 381–385 (2016).			
155 156 157 158 159	3.	IPCC, Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation. A Special Report of Working Groups I and II of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, 2012).			
161 162	4.	J. Hall <i>et al.</i> , Understanding flood regime changes in Europe: a state of the art assessment. <i>Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sc.</i> 18 , 2735–2772 (2014).			
165 164	5.	Z. Kundzewicz, Changes in flood risk in Europe (IAHS Press Wallingford, 2012).			
166 167 168	6.	J. Parajka <i>et al.</i> , Seasonal characteristics of flood regimes across the Alpine-Carpathian range. <i>J. Hydrol.</i> 394 , 78–89 (2010).			
169 170	7.	R. Merz, G. Blöschl, A process typology of regional floods. <i>Water Resour. Res.</i> 39 , 1340 (2003).			
172 173 174 175	8.	D. Wilson, H. Hisdal, D. Lawrence, Has streamflow changed in the Nordic countries? – Recent trends and comparisons to hydrological projections. <i>J. Hydrol.</i> 394 , 334–346 (2010).			
176 177 178	9.	B. Arheimer, G. Lindström, Climate impact on floods: changes in high flows in Sweden in the past and the future (1911–2100). <i>Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sc.</i> 19 , 771–784 (2015).			
179 180	10.	D. Sarauskiene, J. Kriauciuniene, A. Reihan, M. Klavins, Flood pattern changes in the rivers of the Baltic countries. <i>J. Environ. Eng. Landsc.</i> 23 , 28–38 (2015).			
181 182 183 184	11.	P. K. Sen, Estimates of the Regression Coefficient Based on Kendall's Tau. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 63, 1379–1389 (1968).			

185 186 187	12.	M. Sivapalan, G. Blöschl, R. Merz, D. Gutknecht, Linking flood frequency to long-term water balance: Incorporating effects of seasonality. <i>Water Resour. Res.</i> 41 , W06012 (2005).
188 189	13.	A. Draveniece, Detecting changes in winter seasons in Latvia: the role of arctic air masses. <i>Boreal. Environ. Res.</i> 14 , 89–99 (2009).
190 191 192	14.	J. W. Hurrell, H. Van Loon, Decadal variations in climate associated with the North Atlantic Oscillation. <i>Clim. Chang.</i> 36 , 301–326 (1997).
193 194 195 196	15.	N. P. Gillett <i>et al.</i> , Attribution of polar warming to human influence. <i>Nat. Geosci.</i> 1 , 750–754 (2008).
190 197 198 199 200 201	16.	E. Hanna, T. E. Cropper, P. D. Jones, A. A. Scaife, R. Allan, Recent seasonal asymmetric changes in the NAO (a marked summer decline and increased winter variability) and associated changes in the AO and Greenland Blocking Index. <i>Int. J. Climatol.</i> 35 , 2540–2554 (2015).
202 203 204	17.	A. C. Bayliss, R. C. Jones, "Peaks-over-threshold flood database: Summary statistics and seasonality. IH Report No. 121" (Institute of Hydrology, Wallingford, UK, 1993).
204 205 206 207 208	18.	B. Ivančan-Picek, K. Horvath, N. Mahović, M. Gajić-Čapka, Forcing mechanisms of a heavy precipitation event in the southeastern Adriatic area. <i>Nat. Hazards</i> . 72 , 1231–1252 (2014).
209 210 211 212	19.	E. Xoplaki, J. F. Gonzalez-Rouco, J. Luterbacher, H. Wanner, Wet season Mediterranean precipitation variability: influence of large-scale dynamics and trends. <i>Clim. Dynam.</i> 23 , 63–78 (2004).
212 213 214 215	20.	S. Klaus, H. Kreibich, B. Merz, B. Kuhlmann, K. Schröter, Large-scale, seasonal flood risk analysis for agricultural crops in Germany. <i>Environ. Earth Sci.</i> 75 , 1–13 (2016).
216 217 218	21.	T. P. Barnett, J. C. Adam, D. P. Lettenmaier, Potential impacts of a warming climate on water availability in snow-dominated regions. <i>Nature</i> . 438 , 303–309 (2005).
219 220 221	22.	M. Mudelsee, M. Boerngen, G. Tetzlaff, U. Gruenewald, No upward trends in the occurrence of extreme floods in central Europe. <i>Nature</i> . 425 (2003).
222 222 223 224	23.	J. Hall <i>et al.</i> , A European Flood Database: facilitating comprehensive flood research beyond administrative boundaries. <i>Proc. Int. Assoc. Hydrol. Sci.</i> 370 , 89–95 (2015).
225 226	24.	J. Vogt et al., "A pan-European River and Catchment Database" (2007).
227 228 229	25.	M. Haylock <i>et al.</i> , A European daily high-resolution gridded data set of surface temperature and precipitation for 1950-2006. <i>J. Geophys. Res.</i> 113 (2008), doi:10.1029/2008JD010201.

230 231 232 233	26.	H. van den Dool, J. Huang, Y. Fan, Performance and analysis of the constructed analogue method applied to US soil moisture over 1981-2001. <i>J. Geophys. Res.</i> 108 (2003), doi:10.1029/2002JD003114.
233 234 235	27.	K. V. Mardia, Statistics of directional data (Academic Press Inc. London, 1972).
236 237 238	28.	K. V. Mardia, P. E. Jupp, in <i>Directional Statistics</i> (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2008; http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9780470316979.ch6), pp. 93–118.
239 240 241	29.	H. Theil, A Rank-invariant Method of Linear and Polynomial Regression Analysis, Part 1. <i>Proc. R. Neth. Acad. Sci.</i> 53 , 386–392 (1950).
242 243 244 245	30.	P. H. Hiemstra, E. J. Pebesma, C. J. Twenhöfel, G. B. Heuvelink, Real-time automatic interpolation of ambient gamma dose rates from the Dutch radioactivity monitoring network. <i>Comput. Geosci.</i> 35 , 1711–1721 (2009).
243 246 247 248	31.	D. R. Helsel, L. M. Frans, Regional Kendall Test for Trend. <i>Environ. Sci. Technol.</i> 40 , 4066–4073 (2006).
249 250 251 252	32.	G. Blöschl, T. Nester, J. Komma, J. Parajka, R. Perdigão, The June 2013 flood in the Upper Danube basin, and comparisons with the 2002, 1954 and 1899 floods. <i>Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sc.</i> 17 , 5197–5212 (2013).
253 254 255	33.	R Core Team: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing (2016; https://www.R-project.org).
255 256 257 258	34.	D. Sarkar, <i>Lattice: Multivariate Data Visualization with R</i> (Springer, New York, 2008; http://lmdvr.r-forge.r-project.org).
258 259 260	35.	R. Bivand, N. Lewin-Koh, <i>maptools: Tools for Reading and Handling Spatial Objects</i> (2016; https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=maptools).
262 263 264	36.	D. Pierce, <i>ncdf4: Interface to Unidata netCDF (Version 4 or Earlier) Format Data Files</i> (2015; https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=ncdf4).
265 266 267	37.	H. Wickham, The split-apply-combine strategy for data analysis. <i>J. Stat. Softw.</i> 40 , 1–29 (2011).
268 269 270	38.	R. J. Hijmans, <i>raster: Geographic Data Analysis and Modeling</i> (2016; https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=raster).
271 272 273	39.	E. Neuwirth, <i>RColorBrewer: ColorBrewer Palettes</i> (2014; https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=RColorBrewer).

- 40. R. Bivand, T. Keitt, B. Rowlingson, *rgdal: Bindings for the Geospatial Data Abstraction Library* (2016; https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rgdal).
- 41. A. South, rworldmap: a new R package for mapping global data. *The R Journal.* 3, 35–43 (2011).
- 279

276

280 Acknowledgments:

281 We would like to acknowledge the support of the ERC Advanced Grant "FloodChange", Project No. 291152, the Austrian Science Funds FWF as part of the Doctoral Programme on Water 282 Resource Systems (W1219-N22), the EU FP7 project SWITCH-ON (Grant No 603587) and the 283 Russian Science Foundation (Project No. 14-17-00155). The authors also acknowledge the 284 involvement in the data screening process of C. Álvaro Díaz, I. Borzì (Sicily, Italy), E. 285 Diamantini, K. Jeneiová, M. Kupfersberger, and S. Mallucci during their stays at the Vienna 286 University of Technology. We also thank L. Gaál and D. Rosbjerg for contacting Finish and 287 Danish data holders respectively and A. Christofides for pointing us to the Greek data source, B. 288 Renard (France), T. Kiss (Hungary), W. Rigott (South Tyrol, Italy), G. Lindström (Sweden) and 289 P. Burlando (Switzerland) for assistance in preparing and/or providing data or metadata from 290 their respective regions, and B. Lüthi and Y. Hundecha for preparing supporting data to cross-291 check the results that are not part of the paper. 292

this 293 The hydrological data used paper be obtained in can at http://www.hydro.tuwien.ac.at/downloads/xxx. Precipitation and temperature data is available 294 from http://www.ecad.eu/download/ensembles/ensembles.php. The soil moisture data can be 295 found at http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd. 296

297

298 Supplementary Materials:

- 299 Materials and Methods
- 300 Supplementary Text
- 301 Figures S1 to S5
- Tables S1 and S2
- 303 References (23-41)