## This is the accepted manuscript version of the contribution published as:

**Schubert, M., Weise, S.M., Knöller, K.** (2021): Atmospheric washout of <sup>35</sup>S during single rain events – Implications for <sup>35</sup>S sampling schemes *J. Environ. Radioact.* **237**, art. 106669

## The publisher's version is available at:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2021.106669

## Atmospheric washout of <sup>35</sup>S during single rain events – implications for <sup>35</sup>S sampling schemes

M. Schubert<sup>1</sup>, S. M. Weise<sup>2</sup>, K. Knöller<sup>2</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> UFZ Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research, Permoserstr. 15, 04318 Leipzig, Germany
- <sup>2</sup> UFZ Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research, Theodor-Lieser-Str. 4, 06120 Halle, Germany

ABSTRACT: Cosmogenic radiosulfur (35S; half-life: 87.4 days) is transferred with the rain to the groundwater (as  ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$ ) and can be used as residence time tracer for the detection of sub-yearly groundwater residence times. Due to the distinct but non-regular annual <sup>35</sup>S pattern in precipitation, related data evaluation requires consideration of a <sup>35</sup>S input function that is based on representative rain samples. While minor rain events can easily be sampled quantitatively and hence representatively, a long-lasting rain event may get documented by a sample that represents only a certain sequence of the event, thus potentially resulting in a <sup>35</sup>S activity concentration that might not be representative. With the aim to examine the magnitude of temporal variations of the <sup>35</sup>S activity concentration in rain during longlasting rain events, we present and discuss two related exemplary <sup>35</sup>S time series. Furthermore, we evaluate the applicability of the parameters total sulfate and electrical conductivity (EC), both detected in rainwater as easily attainable <sup>35</sup>S proxies. The results of the study show (i) that the <sup>35</sup>S activity concentration may vary substantially during long-lasting rain events due to atmospheric migration processes and aerosol washout and (ii) that neither sulfate nor EC are suitable as <sup>35</sup>S proxies due to the different origin of <sup>35</sup>S on the one hand and sulfate/EC on the other. Hence, for the determination of a <sup>35</sup>S activity concentration that is representative for a long-lasting rain event <sup>35</sup>S analyses of an adequate number of sub-samples cannot be avoided.

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or notfor-profit sectors.

Keywords: <sup>35</sup>S; input function; short term variation; groundwater residence time; tracer

1 1 Introduction

The knowledge of groundwater residence times is essential for (i) assessing the vulnerability of aquifers, (ii) ensuring sustainable groundwater abstraction management and (iii) evaluating groundwater migration patterns and associated dissolved matter fluxes. Environmental radio-tracers, i.e., radionuclides that occur naturally in rain and hence groundwater, are known as a powerful toolset for related investigations.

7 While some of the radionuclides that are commonly used as aqueous tracers occur with an almost 8 time-constant activity concentration in the atmosphere and hence in the rain that is recharging the 9 groundwater (e.g., <sup>39</sup>Ar; Loosli, 1983), others show distinct temporal patterns. For application of the 10 latter as groundwater dating tools, nuclide-specific input functions for the recharged aquifer are 11 required. A well-known example for these time-variant tracers is tritium ( ${}^{3}$ H; half-live: t<sub>1/2</sub> = 12.3 years; 12 Lucas & Unterweger, 2000). Its groundwater input function, which results mainly from the numerous 13 atmospheric nuclear bomb tests executed around the early 1960s, is well defined for most areas 14 worldwide. In contrast, setting up an input function for a radio-tracer that is added to the atmosphere 15 continuously at varying rate (e.g., <sup>85</sup>Kr; t<sub>1/2</sub> = 10.8 years; Kersting et al., 2020) is more challenging.

Since studies of groundwater recharge and contamination concern generally shallow aquifers, groundwater residence times between only a few months and one year are in many cases of major interest. Among the (few) short-lived radioisotopes that can be used as dating tracers for this time range is a naturally occurring radioactive isotope of sulfur, namely <sup>35</sup>S ("radiosulfur", t<sub>1/2</sub> = 87.4 days). <sup>35</sup>S has only recently been introduced to the scientific community as an environmental radiotracer suitable for covering sub-yearly groundwater residence times (Lin & Thiemens, 2018; Urióstegui et al., 2015; Urióstegui et al., 2016).

Radiosulfur is continuously produced by cosmic ray spallation (of <sup>40</sup>Ar) in the stratosphere where it instantly oxidizes to sulfate. Due to its short half-life, some of the produced <sup>35</sup>S decays to <sup>35</sup>Cl right in the stratosphere and troposphere. Still, most of it gets dissolved in the meteoric water as <sup>35</sup>SO<sub>4<sup>2-</sup></sub> and is transferred with the precipitation to the Earth's surface and finally to the groundwater (Tanaka & Turekian, 1991).

<sup>35</sup>S activity concentrations in rain range roughly between about 10 and 150 mBq/L (Urióstegui et al., 2016; Cho et al., 2011; Hong & Kim, 2005; Osaki et al., 1999). As soon as the <sup>35</sup>S containing meteoric water enters the subsurface, <sup>35</sup>S decay is not compensated by atmospheric <sup>35</sup>S production anymore, which makes the decline of the <sup>35</sup>S activity concentration in the groundwater an indicator for its 32 residence time in the subsurface determined by the <sup>35</sup>S decay constant. This makes radiosulfur a 33 residence time tracer suitable for groundwater ages between about three and nine months (i.e., 34 between about one and three <sup>35</sup>S half-lives; Michel et al., 2000; Sueker et al., 1999; Visser et al., 35 2019).

36 The so far published practical case studies that used <sup>35</sup>S as residence time tracer are discussing 37 groundwater recharge in high geographical elevations, where the snowmelt is the dominant recharge 38 event. That limits the <sup>35</sup>S input to a temporarily narrow event and simplifies the related input function 39 to a distinct value (Michel et al., 2000; Sueker et al., 1999; Shanley et al., 2005; Cooper et al., 1991). 40 However, if <sup>35</sup>S studies are carried out in more moderate climate zones where precipitation, as 41 sole <sup>35</sup>S source to the groundwater, occurs more or less continuously throughout the year, it has to 42 be taken into account that <sup>35</sup>S in rain shows a substantial variability over the course of a year (e.g., 43 Schubert et al., 2020a; Plummer et al, 2001; Turekian & Tanaka, 1992). This varying <sup>35</sup>S input value 44 is mainly due to large-scale atmospheric circulation dynamics, i.e., due to stratosphere / troposphere 45 air mass exchange and convective tropospheric air circulation, which are, to a large degree, bound 46 to seasonal patterns in large-scale atmospheric processes and result generally in lower <sup>35</sup>S values 47 during the cold season (Schubert et al., 2020a).

48 If <sup>35</sup>S is to be used as short-term residence time tracer in groundwater studies, the distinct annual 49 variation of <sup>35</sup>S in rain necessitates setting up a <sup>35</sup>S input function, which should cover at least 50 three <sup>35</sup>S half-lives (i.e., about nine months) previous to the groundwater sampling campaign. Setting 51 up such input function requires collecting and analyzing samples of an appropriate number of rain 52 events during this period. Since in particular long-lasting moderate rains are likely to have significant 53 impact on groundwater recharge and hence on both groundwater composition and <sup>35</sup>S input function 54 they have to be sampled with particular caution. At the same time, long-lasting rain events are often 55 a result of large-scale atmospheric patterns that are potentially characterized by significant temporal 56 changes in origin and composition of both air mass and water vapor during the rain event. That in 57 turn may result in a significant temporal change in rain composition, i.e., might be a source of error 58 for the result if the rainfall dynamics (i.e., the washout of the atmospheric sulfate by precipitation) are 59 not being taken into account for data evaluation (Taylor et al., 2016; Chae & Kim, 2019).

In order to shed light on the variability of <sup>35</sup>S in rain during long-lasting rain events, we investigated <sup>35</sup>S
 variations in precipitation recorded during two exemplary rain events and analyzed the resulting
 implications for related sampling schemes. Since <sup>35</sup>S analysis is rather laborious (Schubert et al.,

63 2019; Schubert et al., 2020b) we did furthermore evaluate the applicability of three easily attainable
 64 parameters all detected in rainwater, namely total sulfate and electrical conductivity as <sup>35</sup>S proxies
 65 potentially applicable for studying <sup>35</sup>SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> washout dynamics during long-lasting rain events.

66

67 2 Materials and methods

68 2.1 Sampling and measurement

A rain sampling station was installed, uncovered by trees, in a rural environment close to the City of Leipzig, Germany. The area is located at an elevation of 155 masl, characterized by an average annual rainfall of 550 mm and an average annual temperature of 11°C. During the two investigated rain events all samples were collected from a 31 m<sup>2</sup> inclined laminated rain collector plane via a downpipe into a 0.2 m<sup>3</sup> HDPE container. The container was protected from any other environmental depositions besides rain. The rain collector surface, the downpipe and the container had been cleaned prior to both rain events.

All required associated meteorological data was recorded at the sampling site and, as backup,
at a professional meteorological station located only 3 km away (on the far side of a major river,
though).

79 Each rain sample was representatively transferred from the HDPE container into a 20-litre plastic 80 canister. Since no elevated sulfate concentrations had to be expected in the samples (Schubert et 81 al., 2019), all sample processing for <sup>35</sup>S measurement followed the suggestions for low-sulfate sample 82 preparation for Liquid Scintillation Counting (LSC) given by Schubert et al. (2020b). A Quantulus GCT 83 6220 liquid scintillation counter was used for all <sup>35</sup>S measurements. For allowing reasonable statistical 84 reliability of the counting results (counting error < 5 %), each LSC measurement lasted 24 hours. The 85 detection background (about 7 cpm) was counted and subtracted from the sample counts by 86 measuring a <sup>35</sup>S-dead (and <sup>3</sup>H-dead) background vial before each measurement.

Prior to sample processing for <sup>35</sup>S measurement aliquots of the samples were taken and used for stable isotope analyses of hydrogen and oxygen ( $\delta^2$ H,  $\delta^{18}$ O; "D/O"). The D/O measurements (always doublets from two batches) were carried out using laser cavity ring-down spectroscopy (Picarro L2120-i, Santa Clara, USA). The results are expressed as delta notations relative to the Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (VSMOW). The analytical uncertainties (1 $\sigma$ ) of  $\delta^2$ H and of  $\delta^{18}$ O measurements are ±0.8 ‰ and ±0.2 ‰, respectively. 93 Furthermore, aliquots of the samples were taken for total sulfate analysis. Sulfate concentrations in 94 water were analyzed after 0.45 micron filtration by ion chromatography using a Dionex ICS-2000 95 combined with AS50. Electrical conductivity (EC) was measured using a multi-parameter probe 96 (WTW Germany).

97

98 2.2 Investigated rain events

All rain samples were taken in fall and winter 2020 during two sampling campaigns both characterized by long-lasting rain events. While all event specific meteorological data was recorded at the sampling site and at a nearby meteorological station (as described above), information about the actual macro weather situations was obtained from the open source database of the German Weather Service -DWD (in German; www.dwd.de/DE/leistungen/grosswetterlage/grosswetterlage.html).

The first event (i.e., the first sampling campaign) lasted for 52 hours from Oct. 14<sup>th</sup> 2020, 01:00 a.m. until Oct. 16<sup>th</sup> 2020, 07:00 a.m. with continuing rainfall totaling 44.5 mm. The majority of the rain (35.8 mm) fell throughout the first 19 hours of the event (Figs. 1 and 2). The individual samples were taken every two hours during the initial intense rain (samples #1 - #5) and every three hours during the following period of lessening but still intense rain (samples #6 - #8). The samples #9 - #11 were taken as soon as a cumulative amount of rain had been collected, that made a new sample reasonable in the given context.

111 The rain event resulted from a large-scale low pressure system that had built up in northern Italy in 112 the night 11<sup>th</sup>/12<sup>th</sup> October. While increasing in intensity the weather system had traversed on an anti-113 clockwise path over the northern Balkan and western Hungary to end up in south-eastern Poland. 114 From there it changed its path on the evening of Oct. 13<sup>th</sup> and started moving straight westward to 115 settle finally in south Poland in the early hours of Oct. 14th. There the system stabilized as anti-116 clockwise low pressure cyclone and sent a continuous and long-lasting stream of very moist and (for 117 the season) warm air to eastern Germany (Fig. 1). There this warm moist air mass slid over a cold air 118 layer that was covering Germany at the time. This resulted in the continuous formation of heavy 119 Nimbostratus clouds, which reached very high elevations and triggered continuous rain particularly 120 over eastern and central Germany.

The second rain event (i.e., the second sampling campaign) lasted for five days from Dec. 21<sup>st</sup> until
 Dec. 25<sup>th</sup> 2020, however with lighter and discontinuous rainfall. The rain summed up to a total amount

of 13.6 mm. Due to the minor intensity of the rainfall the individual samples were taken as 24 hours
composite samples, i.e., as representative for each day of the event.

125 The onset of this long-lasting event was due to a large-scale cyclonic system that had settled over 126 Germany bringing in large masses of moist air and significant amounts of rain from the central Atlantic 127 Ocean. This continuous large-scale airstream from the west changed its direction in the progression 128 of the event. In the night Dec. 21st/22nd the airstream from the west was replaced by a stream of 129 significantly warmer air masses coming from the southwest, a pattern that reached its peak on 130 Dec. 22<sup>nd</sup> with (for the season) unusually high temperatures of over 10°C. The end of this warm period 131 was accompanied by the highest rain intensities of the overall rain event on Dec. 23rd. Finally on 132 Dec. 24<sup>th</sup> the direction of the airstream changed again, now suddenly bringing in arctic polar air from 133 the north (Fig. 1). The rain activity finally ceased with this cold snap.

134



136 Fig. 1: Daily average precipitation (P) and air temperatures (T) during the two investigated rain events.

137 The bold lined sections in the temperature plots illustrate the periods of monitoring

138

135

139 3 Results

140 3.1 The first rain event

During the continuous 52 hours rain event a total number of eleven sets of rain samples were taken (#1 - #11). Each set comprised three samples, one for  ${}^{35}S$  (20 liters), one for D/O (100 ml) and one for sulfate (100 ml). Each of the individual samples is representative for the rain that fell in the time period between the actual sampling and the previous one. The first set of samples (#1) is representative for the initial 1.6 mm of rain that fell during the first two hours of the rain event (Oct. 14<sup>th</sup> 2020, 01:00 a.m. – 03:00 a.m.). As displayed in Fig. 2, the precipitation intensity increased more or less continuously until a peak was reached after about eleven hours of rainfall (sample #6). Subsequently the rain intensity lessened until it steadied at a rate of about 0.3 mm/h after another eight hours (sample #9; total time count 19 hours). Afterwards the rain continued with a very low (and still declining) intensity until it ceased completely after 52 hours of continuous rainfall (sample #11).







154 Fig. 2: Average hourly rain intensity recorded (and sampled) in eleven steps during the first rain event155

The EC of the rain was used as a preliminary and easily attainable indicator for the time variant intensity of aerosol washout by the rain. The resulting dataset is displayed in Fig. 3. The data shows a drop in EC to about a third of its onset value within the first twelve hours of rain, i.e., until the peak in rain intensity was reached (sample #6). During this period of decreasing EC the parameter showed only a short (and marginal) recovery after about eight hours (sample #5).

Following the intense rain period, which ended after about 19 hours (samples #1 - #8), the EC started to rise again continuously with a gradient that was increasing with time. The rain sample that represents the last eight hours of (at the time) very light rain (#11) showed even an EC value that was about 15 % above the value detected at the beginning of the rain event (11.6  $\mu$ S/cm and 10.0  $\mu$ S/cm, respectively).

Overall the recorded EC time series points toward an intense aerosol washout until the rainfall peak, which is followed by a recovery of the atmospheric density of aerosols. The latter is most likely due to both an arrival of "fresh" aerosol laden air masses drifting in from the east and a simultaneous significant decrease in rainfall intensity.





171 Fig. 3: Cumulative rain during the first rain event summing up to 44 mm and electrical conductivity172 (EC) in the eleven samples taken

173

174 Fig. 4A displays the time series of the total sulfate concentration in rain and the <sup>35</sup>S activity that is 175 contained in this sulfate. <sup>35</sup>S plots close to sulfate with both parameters showing significantly higher 176 values at the end of the rain event compared to its start (35S: 38.9 mBg/L and 15.6 mBg/L, 177 respectively). This similar behavior of dissolved sulfate and <sup>35</sup>S reflects also in a determination 178 coefficient of R<sup>2</sup> = 0.88 (Fig. 4B). If compared with EC (see Fig. 3) in particular the sulfate plot reveals 179 a generally analogous behavior ( $R^2 = 0.91$ ; data not displayed), indicating an initial sulfate washout 180 followed by sulfate recovery for the same reasons as given for EC. It can even be seen that sulfate 181 (as well as <sup>35</sup>S) shows the same short and marginal peak after about eight hours (sample set #5) that 182 was also observed for EC.



183



185 Fig. 4B: Time series of sulfate concentration vs.<sup>35</sup>S activity

186 However, in contrast to EC, sulfate reached at the end of the rain event concentrations that nearly 187 doubled the onset value (1.22 mg/L and 0.66 mg/L, respectively). <sup>35</sup>S showed a virtually analogous 188 increase with a final concentration even more than twice the initial value (38.9 mBq/L and 15.6 mBq/L, 189 respectively). Since <sup>35</sup>S originates solely from the upper atmosphere, local terrestrial 190 (e.g., anthropogenic) sources can be ruled out as <sup>35</sup>S sources. Hence it can be concluded that the 191 composition of the air masses that were drifting in as a large-scale pattern and that were washed out 192 by the rain had changed halfway through the rain event (as concluded from the EC data as well). The 193 aerosol composition of these "fresh" air masses was more dominated by total sulfate than the air that 194 was present (and washed out) at the beginning of the rain event.

Fig. 5 displays the time series of the isotopic composition of the rainwater recorded during the 52 hours rain event as revealed by the parameters  $\delta^2$ H and Deuterium excess (*d*). The purpose of the stable isotope measurements was not directly associated to investigating the washout behaviour of <sup>35</sup>S. The data was rather needed for understanding the nature of the rain event, i.e., the origin of air mass and water vapour that might cause temporal changes of the <sup>35</sup>S activity concentration of the rain.

201 The  $\delta^2$ H plot shows that in the beginning of the event (sample sets #1 – #5) deuterium got more and 202 more depleted, i.e., that the rain got depleted in heavier isotopes with ongoing and increasing rainfall. 203 This observation is consistent with isotopic trends detected in earlier studies of frontal and convective 204 precipitation events as they are typical for frontal systems surrounding low pressure cyclones, which 205 form when warm air meets cooler air as in the present situation (e.g., Dansgaard, 1964; Celle-Jeanton 206 et al., 2004; Aemisegger et al., 2015). When a cold air mass underlays a warm and humid air mass, 207 it causes its rise (typically building nimbostratus clouds) accompanied by an adiabatic cooling 208 resulting in descending isotopic trends in the precipitation (Celle-Jeanton et al., 2004). However, 209 subsequently to sample #5 (and with no temporary plateau phase)  $\delta^2$ H started to rise again with a 210 similar (but inverse) slope forming a V-shaped pattern. Comparable patterns have been interpreted 211 as being produced by frontal and convective precipitation events, when the later phase of the event 212 (i.e., the ascending arm of the  $\delta^2 H$  plot) obeys an internal mechanism that is considerably different to 213 the initial phase (i.e., the descending arm) resulting in a vapor source of different quality (Celle-214 Jeanton et al., 2004). This underpins the assumption of a significant change in composition and 215 quality of the large-scale air and hence vapor masses halfway through the rain event made based in 216 the EC, sulfate and <sup>35</sup>S data.

The parameter Deuterium excess (*d*) allows generally resolving differences between the two commonly used parameters  $\delta^2$ H and  $\delta^{18}$ O in more detail. The typical Deuterium excess mean value at the sampling station is ca. 8 ‰ (based on long-term local observations (IAEA)). All Deuterium excess values in this study were calculated based on Eq. 1 (Dansgaard, 1964).

$$d = \delta^2 H - 8 \cdot \delta^{18} O$$
 Eq.1

222 As displayed in Fig. 5, all Deuterium excess values plot (with a minimum value of 12.2 %) significantly 223 above the  $\approx 8$  ‰ level that would be expected for the region. The recorded *d* values of up to almost 224 20 ‰ are rather typical for a Mediterranean origin of cloud vapor (Gat & Carmi, 1970). This is in 225 accordance with the fact that the causal large-scale low pressure system had built up in northern Italy 226 (as described in sect. 2.2,). Along the ascending arm of the  $\delta^2$ H plot (starting with sample #5) the 227 Deuterium excess follows the  $\delta^2 H$  trend fairly closely. Only in the final period of the rain event 228 (samples #10 and #11) d drops again to a slightly lower level. Still, subsequently to sample #5 the 229 generally parallel trends of  $\delta^2 H$  and d point to common causal processes, such as rain contribution 230 from a vapor source different in quality compared to the onset of the rain. This assumption could also 231 explain why the time series of both  $\delta^2$ H and  $\delta^{18}$ O (the latter not displayed in Fig. 5) end finally with 232 values that are significantly higher than the signatures observed at the beginning of the rain event 233 (comparable to sulfate and <sup>35</sup>S; Fig. 6).

234



Fig. 5: Time series of  $\delta^2$ H and Deuterium excess (*d*) in the samples taken

237





240

238

241 3.2 The second rain event

The second monitored rain event lasted for five days from Dec. 21<sup>st</sup> until Dec. 25<sup>th</sup> 2020. In contrast to the first event the rain did not fall continuously but with several interruptions, each lasting several hours, ending up with a total rain amount of 13.6 mm. Hence, only five sets of samples were taken, each one representing the cumulative rainfall of one day. This only limited number of samples and the discontinuous nature of the rainfall do not allow a data evaluation as thoroughly and statistically reliable as for the first event. Still, the observed trends can be discussed in comparison to those recorded during the first event.

As displayed in Fig. 7, the rain resulted generally in a significant washout of aerosols from the atmosphere. The <sup>35</sup>S activity concentration dropped by 66 % from 35 to 12 mBq/L. The most pronounced <sup>35</sup>S washout (with concentrations declining from 30 to 11 mBq/L) occurred during the third day of the event (Dec. 23<sup>rd</sup>; 7.3 mm), i.e., during the period of most intense rainfall. The EC of the rainwater declined also significantly throughout the five day event. Even though the plots of EC and <sup>35</sup>S differ, the washout resulted overall in a comparable decrease of the two components.



Fig. 7: Daily rainfall (summing up to 13.6 mm in total), electrical conductivity (EC) and <sup>35</sup>S in the five
daily samples taken during the second rain event

259

256

260 The intense aerosol washout reflects also in the recorded sulfate values, which dropped by 77 % from 261 5.3 to 1.3 mg/L (Fig. 8). Still, even though the washout resulted in a comparable overall decrease of 262 both sulfate and <sup>35</sup>S, the shapes of the two plots differ (as also observed for EC and <sup>35</sup>S). While the 263 most noticeable sulfate washout occurred on the first day of the rain event, the <sup>35</sup>S values decreased 264 most pronouncedly on the third day, i.e., on the day of most intense rain. The different shapes of the 265 sulfate and <sup>35</sup>S plots can be explained with the different origins of total sulfate and <sup>35</sup>S, the first 266 originating from (local) terrestrial (e.g., anthropogenic) sources and the latter from the higher 267 atmosphere. Hence, it can be stated that while the sulfate of terrestrial origin is rather continuously 268 washed out of the lower atmosphere, the <sup>35</sup>S activity concentration in rain is much more dependent 269 on the large-scale origin of the rain producing water vapor. This conclusion is supported by the fact 270 that the most pronounced change in <sup>35</sup>S on the third day coincides with the increasing impact of air 271 masses of arctic origin (cf. sect. 2.1).

272



Fig. 8: Total sulfate and <sup>35</sup>S in the five daily samples taken during the second rain event

275 The varying characteristics of the incoming air stream is also confirmed by the variation of the 276 parameters  $\delta^2$ H and Deuterium excess (d) during the second rain event (Fig. 9). Compared to the V-277 shape of the  $\delta^2$ H plot recorded during the first rain event,  $\delta^2$ H shows a different (almost inverse) 278 behavior here. Coming from an initial value of -80  $\infty$ ,  $\delta^2$ H peaks at -40  $\infty$  in the middle of the event 279 and drops subsequently with a steep gradient back to -90 ‰. A rain sample that was collected from 280 a subsequent individual rain shower (Dec. 27<sup>th</sup>, not displayed in Fig. 9) showed an even lower  $\delta^2$ H 281 signature of -125  $\infty$ . The descending arm of the  $\delta^2 H$  plot, i.e., the shift from isotopically "heavier" to 282 isotopically "lighter" rain during the second half of the five days period, reflects the changing origin of 283 the causing water vapor. The rain event started with water condensing from vapor coming from the 284 central Atlantic, continued with rain produced from vapor originating in more south-eastern regions 285 (still Atlantic, though, not the Mediterranean) and ended finally (after the third day) with vapor and 286 hence rainwater from a cold arctic origin.

287 The Deuterium excess values do also reflect this severe meteorological shift in the course of the rain 288 event. Starting with 8 ‰ (as being typical for the sampling area), the subsequent significantly lower d 289 values of 4 – 5 ‰ (Dec. 22<sup>nd</sup> – Dec. 24<sup>th</sup>) coincide with the arrival of the warmer air masses coming 290 from a more southern Atlantic region. Cause for the low d values might be an unusually low relative 291 humidity (< 70 %) of the air that received the evaporated sea water. In contrast, d values higher than 292 typical for the area (e.g., 10.4 ‰, 13.9 ‰ and 15.8 ‰ detected on Dec. 27th Jan. 3rd, and Jan. 4th 293 respectively, not displayed in Fig. 9) indicate precipitation from air masses of colder origin (Araguas-294 Araguas et al., 2000; Merlivat & Jouzel, 1979), i.e., represent the arriving cold spell.

295



297 Fig. 9:  $\delta^2$ H and Deuterium excess (*d*) in the five daily samples taken during the second rain event

298

299 Since <sup>35</sup>S analysis is rather laborious Schubert et al. (2020a) suggested using <sup>7</sup>Be in atmospheric air 300 as proxy for <sup>35</sup>S. <sup>7</sup>Be is recorded as routine atmospheric parameter by the Comprehensive Nuclear-301 Test-Ban Treaty Organization (CTBTO) at stations worldwide and datasets are freely available. 302 However, at CTBTO stations <sup>7</sup>Be is recorded only as daily average value, which makes the data 303 inappropriate for studying short-time events such as single rainfalls. Furthermore, the distance 304 between the <sup>35</sup>S sampling location and the closest CTBO station will generally result in significant 305 differences in removal of both <sup>35</sup>S and <sup>7</sup>Be from surface air due to differences in the prevailing 306 meteorological conditions (especially differences in rainfall) at each site.

307

## 308 4 Conclusions

Using <sup>35</sup>S as short-term residence time tracer in groundwater studies requires setting up a <sup>35</sup>S input function. This in turn necessitates the collection of representative rain samples over a period of about nine months. In this context, long-lasting rain events have to be treated with particular care as the results of our study reveal a high probability of temporal changes in the <sup>35</sup>S activity concentration of the rain during such long-lasting rain events. Hence, the collection and analysis of an adequate number of samples that are representing the complete event is required.

315 At the same time, the rather laborious analysis of <sup>35</sup>S in water samples makes minimizing the number 316 of <sup>35</sup>S analyses desirable. That calls for an optimized approach based on more easily attainable <sup>35</sup>S 317 proxies that are recorded simultaneously during the rain event. However, the results of our study 318 showed that the easily attainable parameters EC and sulfate cannot unrestrictedly be used as <sup>35</sup>S 319 proxies in rain due to the different origin of <sup>35</sup>S on the one hand and EC/sulfate on the other. While <sup>35</sup>S 320 is produced solely in the upper atmosphere, EC and sulfate are of terrestrial (and potentially 321 anthropogenic) origin. Changes of the individual parameters during a rain event are hence potentially 322 triggered by different causes.

Hence, we suggest that executing <sup>35</sup>S analysis of an adequate number of samples that are representative for a long-lasting rain event cannot be avoided. An alternative option would be to take a composite sample large enough to represent the complete event.

- 326
- 327

328

- 330 References
- Aemisegger, F., Spiegel, J.K., Pfahl, S., Sodemann, H., Eugster, W., Wernli, H. 2015. Isotope
   meteorology of cold front passages: A case study combining observations and modelling.
   Geophysical Research Letters 42, 5652-5660.
- Araguas-Araguas, L., Froehlich, K., Rozanski, K. 2000. Deuterium and oxygen-18 isotope
   composition of precipitation and atmospheric moisture. Hydrological Processes 14, 1341-1355.
- 336 Celle-Jeanton, H., Gonfiantini, R., Travi, Y., Sol, B. 2004. Oxygen-18 variations of rainwater during
- precipitation: application of the Rayleigh model to selected rainfalls in Southern France. Journalof Hydrology 289, 165-177.
- Chae, J., Kim, G. 2019. Large seasonal variations in fine aerosol precipitation rates revealed using
   cosmogenic <sup>7</sup>Be as a tracer. Science of the Total Environment 673, 1-6.
- 341 Cho, H.-M., Hong, Y.-L., Kim, G. 2011. Atmospheric depositional fluxes of cosmogenic <sup>35</sup>S and <sup>7</sup>Be:
- 342 Implications for the turnover rate of sulfur through the biosphere. Atmospheric Environment 45,343 4230-4234.
- Cooper, L.W., Olsen, C.R., Solomon, D.K., Larsen, I.L., Cook, R.B., Grebmeier, J.M. 1991. Stable
   isotopes of oxygen and natural and fallout radionuclides used for tracing runoff during snowmelt
- in an Arctic watershed. Water Resources Research 27, 2171-2179.
- 347 Dansgaard, W. 1964. Stable isotopes in precipitation. Tellus XVI, 436-468.
- Gat, J.R., Carmi, I. 1970. Evolution of the isotopic composition of atmospheric waters in the
   Mediterranean Sea area. Journal of Geophysical Research 75, 3039–3048.
- Hong, Y., Kim, G. 2005. Measurement of Cosmogenic <sup>35</sup>S Activity in Rainwater and Lake Water.
- Analytical Chemistry 77, 3390-3393.
- International Atomic Energy Agency IAEA, Global Network of Isotopes in Precipitation (GNIP),
   station Leipzig, see https://www.iaea.org/services/networks/gnip.
- 354 Kersting, A., Schlosser, C., Bollhöfer, A., Suckow, A. 2020. Evaluating 5 decades of atmospheric <sup>85</sup>Kr
- 355 measurements in the southern hemisphere to derive an input function for dating water and ice with
- 356 implications for interhemispheric circulation and the global <sup>85</sup>Kr emission inventory. Journal of
- 357 Environmental Radioactivity 225, 106451.
- Lin, M., Thiemens, M.H. 2018. Accurate Quantification of Radiosulfur in Chemically Complex
   Atmospheric Samples. Analytical Chemistry 90, 2884-2890.
- Loosli, H.H. 1983. A dating method with <sup>39</sup>Ar. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 63(1), 51-62.

Lucas, L.L., Unterweger, M. P. 2000. Comprehensive review and critical evaluation of the half-life of
 Tritium. Journal of Research of the National Institute of Standards and Technology 105(4), 541-

363 549.

- Merlivat, L., Jouzel, J. 1979. Global climatic interpretation of the deuterium-oxygen-18 relationship for
   precipitation. Journal of Geophysical Research 84, 5029-5033.
- Michel, R.L., Campbell, D., Clow, D., Turk, J.T. 2000. Timescales for migration of atmospherically
   derived sulphate through an alpine/subalpine watershed, Loch Vale, Colorado. Water Recourses
   Research 36, 27-36.
- Osaki, S., Tagawa, Y., Chijiiwa, T., Sugihara, S., Maeda, Y. 1999. Atmospheric deposition of <sup>35</sup>S.
   Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry 239(3), 543-547.
- Plummer, L.N., Busenberg, E., Bohlke, J.K., Nelms, D.L., Michel, R.L. 2001. Groundwater residence
  times in Shenandoah National Park, Blue Ridge Mountains, Virginia, USA: a multi-tracer
  approach. Chemical Geology 179, 93–111.
- Schubert, M., Knöller, K., Tegen, I., Terzi, L. 2020a. Variability of Cosmogenic <sup>35</sup>S in Rain Resulting
   Implications for the Use of Radiosulfur as Natural Groundwater Residence Time Tracer. Water 12,
   2953.
- Schubert, M., Kopitz, J., Knöller K. 2020b. Low-sulphate water sample preparation for LSC detection
   of <sup>35</sup>S avoiding sulphate precipitation. Journal of Environmental Radioactivity 213, 106153.
- Schubert, M., Kopitz, J., Knöller, K. 2019. Improved approach for LSC detection of <sup>35</sup>S aiming at its
   application as tracer for short groundwater residence times. Journal of Environmental
   Radioactivity 208/209, 106022.
- Shanley, J.B., Mayer, B., Mitchell, M.J., Michel, R.L., Bailey, S.W., Kendall, C. 2005. Tracing sources
  of streamwater sulphate during snowmelt using S and O isotope ratios of sulphate and <sup>35</sup>S activity.
  Biogeochemistry 76, 161-185.
- Sueker, J.K., Turk, J.T., Michel, R.L. 1999. Use of cosmogenic <sup>35</sup>S for comparing ages of water from
   three alpine/subalpine basins in the Colorado Front Range. Geomorphology 27, 61-74.
- Tanaka, N., Turekian, K.K. 1991. Use of cosmogenic <sup>35</sup>S to determine the rates of removal of
   atmospheric SO<sub>2</sub>. Nature 352, 226-228.
- Taylor, A., Keith-Roach, M.J., Iurian, A.R., Mabit, L., Blake, W.H. 2016. Temporal variability of
   beryllium-7 fallout in southwest UK. Journal of Environmental Radioactivity 160, 80-86.

- Turekian, K.K., Tanaka, N. 1992. The use of atmospheric cosmogenic <sup>35</sup>S and <sup>7</sup>Be in determining
   depositional fluxes of SO<sub>2</sub>. Geophysical Research Letters 19/17, 1767-1770.
- Urióstegui, S.H., Bibby, R.K., Esser, B.K., Clark, J.F. 2015. Analytical Method for Measuring
   Cosmogenic <sup>35</sup>S in Natural Waters. Analytical Chemistry 87, 6064-6070.
- 395 Urióstegui, S.H., Bibby, R.K., Esser, B.K., Clark, J.F. 2016. Quantifying groundwater travel time near
- 396 managed recharge operations using <sup>35</sup>S as an intrinsic tracer. Journal of Hydrology 543, 145-154.
- 397 Visser, A., Thaw, M., Deinhart, A., Bibby, R., Safeeq, M., Conklin, M., Esser, B., van der Velde, Y.
- 398 2019. Cosmogenic isotopes unravel the hydrochronology and water storage dynamics of the
- 399 Southern Sierra Critical Zone. Water Resources Research 55, 1429-1450.