This is the preprint of the contribution published as:

Muhammad, S., **Ullah, R.**, Amin, S., Ahmad, A. (2025): Radon contamination, risk evaluation, and their spatial distribution in groundwater of three selected northern districts *J. Geochem. Explor.* **269**, art. 107644

The publisher's version is available at:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2024.107644

1 Radon contamination, risk evaluation, and their spatial distribution in groundwater of

2 three selected northern districts

3 Said Muhammad^{1*}, Rizwan Ullah², Sehrish Amin³, Ashfaq Ahmad⁴

4 ¹National Centre of Excellence in Geology, University of Peshawar, Peshawar 25130, Pakistan

5 ²Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research-UFZ, Department of River Ecology,

6 Brückstra.3a, 39114 Magdeburg, Germany

⁷ ³Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Peshawar, Peshawar 25120, Pakistan

⁴Department of Chemistry, College of Science, King Saud University, P.O. Box, 2455, Riyadh11451, Saudi Arabia

10

Corresponding author: Dr. Said Muhammad, National Centre of Excellence in Geology,
 University of Peshawar 25130, Pakistan, Email: saidmuhammad1@gmail.com

13 ABSTRACT

Radon (²²²Rn) has a ubiquitous nature in the environment and can pose serious health threats 14 to living beings. Groundwater is the primary source of drinking and domestic uses for more 15 than 3 million people in the area. The current study was conducted for investigation of 16 groundwater for the ²²²Rn concentration and associated health risks. For that purpose, the 17 groundwater was examined for ²²²Rn concentration using the RAD7 detector (Durridge 18 Company, USA). Average concentrations of ²²²Rn were highest at 10.8±3.6 Bq/L in the Lower 19 Dir district and lowest at 6.39±0.98 Bq/L in the Upper Dir. The average concentration of ²²²Rn 20 21 was found below the threshold limit set by the US Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) and the World Health Organization (WHO). However, the concentration in 30% of sampling 22 sites in the Lower Dir showed ²²²Rn concentrations higher than a recommended level of 11.1 23 Bq/L. This study evaluated the potential non-cancer risk and estimated lifetime cancer risk 24 (ELCR) of various age groups via ²²²Rn consumption in groundwater. The results showed 's 25 total annual mean exposure doses (EwTotal) values for infant of 43.7±10.2, 25.2±3.15, 26 27 27.0±1.68 µSv/a, children 33.5±7.80, 19.3±2.42, 20.1±1.29 µSv/a), and adults (32.3±7.52, 18.6±2.33, 20.0±1.24 µSv/a) via groundwater intake in Lower Dir, Upper Dir, and Chitral 28 districts, respectively. Infants showed higher vulnerability to health issues due to ²²²Rn 29

contamination in groundwater. ²²²Rn concentrations showed a weak correlation with various
physicochemical parameters in the groundwater.

32 Keywords: Annual mean exposure doses; Chitral district; estimated lifetime cancer risk;
33 Lower & Upper Dir districts; non-cancer risk; Pakistan

34 1. Introduction

Radon (²²²Rn) is a ubiquitous radioactive gas and a significant contributor to human exposure 35 to ionizing radiation (Benà et al., 2024). ²²²Rn is a key product of the radioactive decay of the 36 uranium (U-238) chain produced in the earth's crust (Wu et al., 2024). The ²²²Rn isotope having 37 a half-life of 3.83 days is potentially firm in nature among its other isotopes (Fan et al., 2023). 38 222 Rn naturally is occurs in rocks and soils emits α - particles and is soluble in water under 39 pressure. Consequently, water interaction with uranium-rich soils contributes to a higher 40 quantity of ²²²Rn in different water sources (Grzadziel et al., 2021; Muhammad and Haq, 2023). 41 The level of ²²²Rn concentration in groundwater is attributed to types of hosting rocks, porosity-42 permeability, active faults occurrence, and the nature of groundwater aquifers (Chen et al., 43 2024; Muhammad et al., 2024; Ullah et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2024; Zhao et al., 2024). In 44 addition, the ²²²Rn concentration is also elevated in groundwater due to the presence of radium 45 in the rocks and minerals such as phosphate-rich soils around it (Shu'aibu et al., 2021). 46 Exposure to ²²²Rn in a confined environment poses serious health issues (Bossew et al., 2020). 47

Radon has been recognized as the main source of natural radiation exposure to humans.
The toxicity arises from human exposure to ²²²Rn and its progeny, which emits alpha particles.
These alpha particles are sources of high energy that directly damage DNA, producing
oxidative stress, and cause chronic inflammation in lung tissues. Chronic exposure to even at
low concentration of ²²²Rn can result in genetic mutation and lung cancer (Seo et al., 2019;
Sutradhar et al., 2023). ²²²Rn availability in water has attributed to approximately 89% of lung

cancer via inhalation and around 11% of stomach cancer due to its direct intake contaminated
in water (Suresh et al., 2020). It can damage the respiratory tracts, and digestive organs and
can cause leukemia in children (McLaughlin et al., 1993). According to USEPA, the maximum
contaminant level (MCL) of ²²²Rn concentration in drinking water is permissible at 11.1 Bq/L.
The guidelines of the World Health Organization and the European Union (EU) recommend
that its countries should keep a concentration of ²²²Rn in water less than 100 Bq/L.

Recently, ²²²Rn contamination and associated health risks in water have been reported 60 in several studies from China (Zhao et al., 2021), India (Jamir et al., 2023), and Qatar (Manawi 61 et al., 2023). The concentration of ²²²Rn and associated health risks has been rarely reported in 62 Pakistan (Ijaz et al., 2023; Muhammad et al., 2020). Data were evaluated using various model 63 (Yao et al., 2024; Zhou and Liu, 2022; Zhou et al., 2023; 2022) and geospatial distribution 64 (Gong et al., 2024). However, these studies were mostly limited to the eastern and southern 65 regions of Pakistan. Higher ²²²Rn concentrations in hot spring water have been reported from 66 the Garam Chasma, Chitral, and suggested detail analyses of groundwater (Ullah et al., 2022). 67 Therefore, the present study was aimed to examine ²²²Rn concentrations in groundwater of 68 Lower Dir, Upper Dir, and Chitral districts, northern Pakistan. In addition, ²²²Rn concentrations 69 were evaluated for non-cancer and excess lifetime cancer risk (ELCR). ²²²Rn concentrations, 70 71 non-cancer, and ELCR values were plotted on the geospatial distribution maps.

72

2. Materials and methods

73 2.1. Study area

The sampling areas for this study included Chitral, Lower Dir, and Upper Dir districts, located in the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa province of Pakistan (Fig. 1). Chitral is situated in the northernmost part of Pakistan along the Kunar River, also known as the Chitral River, which ultimately drains towards the Kabul River. Chitral shares its boundaries with the Ghizer district

of Gilgit-Baltistan to the north, Swat to the southeast, and Dir to the west. Surrounded by the 78 elevated mountain ranges of Karakoram and Hindukush, Chitral's elevation ranges from 4500 79 to 7600 m. The groundwater in the Chitral basin, sourced from rainfall, springs, glaciers, and 80 snow melting, serves as the primary drinking water source for the local population (Baig et al., 81 82 2010). The Dir districts are situated in northern Pakistan, with elevations ranging from 1200 to 2800 m above sea level. The area receives an annual average rainfall of approximately 1469 83 84 mm, with 254 mm during winter and summer, respectively. Inhabitants utilize tube wells, hand pumps, and dug wells water for various purposes, including drinking. The districts host the 85 86 Panjkora River, which originates from Kohistan in Upper Dir and eventually joins the Swat River (Hasan et al., 2015; Ullah et al., 2014b). 87

88 2.2. Geology

Geological settings are considered a dominant factor in the distribution of groundwater. Soil 89 and rock composition, type, permeability, and porosity characterize infiltration and recharge of 90 groundwater. The area is mostly covered by different types of metamorphic and igneous rocks. 91 Metamorphic and igneous rocks have low permeability which makes hurdle for water 92 93 infiltration to underlying aquifers. Similarly, clayey soil has played a big role in the stoppage of infiltration in the area. However, the area is also dominated by sedimentary rocks and high 94 structural terrain which are usually characterized by high porosity and permeability. This allow 95 high infiltration of water and also contribute to the escape of different materials from 96 underlying bedrocks to the surface of water. The area is geologically diverse with the presence 97 of multiple types of rocks and different kinds of soils (Sarwar et al., 2021; Sullivan, 1992; Ullah 98 et al., 2014a). 99

101 2.3. Sampling procedure

A total of 46 samples were collected from groundwater comprising Lower Dir (n=23), Upper 102 Dir (n=14), and Chitral (n=09), randomly selected within the study area. The exact coordinates 103 104 of the sample locations were determined using a global positioning system (GPS, Garmin eTrex 10, New Taipei City, Taiwan). Standard protocols were followed during sample collection to 105 prevent potential contamination. Pre-cleaned, specifically designed glass bottles (0.25 L) were 106 used for the collection and analysis of ²²²Rn. These bottles were washed with 15% nitric acid 107 (HNO₃) before sample collection and then attached to the RAD7 detector equipment (Durridge 108 company, Billerica, Massachusetts, USA, 2016) for the analysis of ²²²Rn and calibration 109 according to the method as adapted from the (Haroon and Muhammad, 2022). 110

111 2.4. Water analyses

The RAD7 offers various options for the analysis of ²²²Rn in different natural mediums 112 including water. This study followed the standard procedure for determining ²²²Rn in water 113 114 samples based on existing research works (Duggal et al., 2013; Yakut et al., 2013). The internal humidity of the RAD7 was maintained at (<9%) for each sample to ensure highly accurate 115 measurements of ²²²Rn. The RAD7 was set up with a 30-min measurement time for each water 116 117 sample using the Wat 250 protocol, and an additional 30 min were allocated to lower the internal humidity of the RAD7 to a suitable level of less than 9% for the subsequent 118 119 examination of the next sample (Haroon and Muhammad, 2022). The recommended calibration method for RAD7 was consistently employed at intervals to ensure the reliability and quality 120 control of water sample measurements. Basic water quality parameters, such as pH, 121 122 temperature, turbidity, oxidation-reduction potential (ORP), electrical conductivity (EC), and salinity were determined using a multiparameter analyzer (CONSORT 6030, Turnhout, 123 Belgium). 124

125 2.5. Annual mean exposure doses

The non-cancer risks associated with ²²²Rn consumption to human health can be divided into 126 two pathways such as ingestion and inhalation. The annual mean exposure doses via the 127 ingestion (EwIng) pathway are primarily determined as the water quantity consumed by an 128 individual at a specific duration. Furthermore, water usage for bathing and other domestic 129 purposes can help in escaping ²²²Rn to indoor air which consequently increases individual 130 exposure by inhalation (EwInh) pathway. Due ²²²Rn ubiquitous presence in the environment 131 makes it a potential threat to individual health (Isinkaye et al., 2023; Vitz, 1991). The EwIng 132 and EwInh values were determined by the equations adapted from (UNSCEAR, 2000, 2008): 133

134
$$EwInh = C_{RnW} \times F \times O \times R \times DCF$$
(1)

135
$$EwIng = CRnW \times Cw \times EDC$$
 (2)

 C_{RnW} : concentration of ²²²Rn in each water sample (Bq/L), F: equilibrium factor between ²²²Rn 136 and its progenies (0.4). O: average annual indoor occupancy time per individual (7000 h/y), 137 DCF: dose conversion factor for ²²²Rn exposure (9 nSv/Bq/h/m³), Cw: average annual water 138 consumption for infants, children, and adults is 0.6, 0.8, and 1.3 L/day, respectively, R: ratio 139 of ²²²Rn in the air to that in water (10⁻⁴). EDC: effective dose coefficient for ingestion (3.5 140 nSv/Bq). The total annual mean exposure doses from ²²²Rn in water (EwTotal) of humans can 141 be calculated by the sum of the annual mean exposure doses for inhalation and ingestion as 142 follows (Muhammad et al., 2024): 143

144
$$EwTotal = EwIng + EwInh$$
 (3)

145Radon ELCR was computed using the adapted equation (Muhammad and Haq, 2023):146 $ELCR = H \times DL \times RF$ (4)

where H: is the mean effective dose, DL: the average duration of life (70 years), and RF: The fatal cancer risk per Sievert ($5.5E10^{-2}/Sv$).

149 2.6. Statistical analyses

The ²²²Rn data obtained from RAD7 was first analyzed for descriptive statistics with the help of MS Excel (2022) and then presented the data graphically by plotting it in a sigma plot software (ver.12.5, Systate Inc.). Spatial distribution maps of ²²²Rn concentration and ELCR data were prepared with the help of interpolation (Kriging) techniques of Arc GIS (software ver.10.8.2).

155 **3.** Results and discussion

156 3.1. Radon concentration in water

The mean concentrations of ²²²Rn in groundwater of Lower Dir, Upper Dir, and Chitral districts 157 were found 10.8 \pm 3.6, 6.39 \pm 0.98 and 6.67 \pm 1.03 Bq/L, respectively (Fig. 2). The highest ²²²Rn 158 concentration of 22.6 Bg/L was noted in the sample collected from Ballambut in the Lower Dir 159 district (Fig. 2). The mean concentrations of ²²²Rn in the studied district were found below the 160 recommended level of 11.1 Bq/L (USEPA, 1999) in studied groundwater. The concentration 161 of ²²²Rn in 100% of groundwater samples in the Upper Dir and Chitral districts was found 162 within the threshold limit for safe drinking water purposes. However, 34% of groundwater 163 samples collected from the Lower Dir surpassed the recommended level but within the WHO 164 drinking water guidelines (100 Bq/L). Local geology, lithology, and geochemical properties of 165 the Lower Dir could contribute to a higher concentration of ²²²Rn in groundwater. This region 166 is a tectonically active zone with high susceptibility to erosion, landslide, and mass movement 167 making pathways for increasing ²²²Rn levels in different environmental media including 168 groundwater. The elevated concentration of ²²²Rn was attributed to permeable and porous 169 pathways resulting from geological activities including landslide movement, erosion, and 170

bedrock fractures as reported by Ullah et al. (2022) and Muhammad et al. (2024). These types
of geological activities help to increase the concentration of ²²²Rn in water aquifer and the
atmosphere from underlying bedrocks which consequently deteriorated water quality.
Furthermore, bedrock types of aquifers play a significant role in the presence of excess ²²²Rn.
It is reported that granite rock aquifers possess a much higher concentration of ²²²Rn than
aquifers with sedimentary bedrocks (Duenas et al., 1998; Khan et al., 2022; Ullah et al., 2022).

The average concentration of ²²²Rn in groundwater of the Lower Dir district was 177 observed higher than that of 6.04±1.85 Bq/L in Kotli, AJK (Muhammad et al., 2024) and 178 1.82±0.04 Bq/L in Nagaland, India reported (Jamir et al., 2023) as shown in the Table 1. 179 However, the average concentrations of ²²²Rn reported by this study were noted below the 180 mean concentration of 31.5±4.9 Bq/L observed in the water of the Zarand area, Iran (Mehnati 181 et al., 2022), as shown in Table 1. The excessive concentration of ²²²Rn in drinking water 182 sources has potential health concerns for the dependent human populations. Human 183 populations if exposed to the highly contaminated water with ²²²Rn through ingestion and 184 inhalation can face serious health issues such as cancer and non-cancer health detrimental 185 issues. 186

187 3.2. Annual mean exposure doses

The annual mean exposure doses for non-cancer health risks of different age groups including infants, children, and adults were calculated for the consumption of groundwater contaminated with ²²²Rn in the Lower Dir, Upper Dir, and Chitral districts. The values of EwIng for infants were 16.5 ± 3.83 , 9.80 ± 1.19 , $10.2\pm0.64 \,\mu$ Sv/a, children 6.30 ± 1.47 , 3.73 ± 0.45 , $3.90\pm0.24 \,\mu$ Sv/a, and adults 5.12 ± 1.19 , 2.95 ± 0.37 , $3.16\pm0.20 \,\mu$ Sv/a via the groundwater consumption of Lower Dir, Upper Dir, and Chitral districts, respectively. Furthermore, the EwInh values were 27.2 ± 6.33 , 15.7 ± 1.96 , and $16.8\pm1.05 \,\mu$ Sv/a in the groundwater of Lower Dir, Upper Dir, and

Chitral districts, respectively. Additionally, EwTotal values for infants were 43.7±10.2, 195 25.2±3.15, 27.0±1.68 µSv/a, children 33.5±7.80, 19.3±2.42, 20.1±1.29 µSv/a, and adults 196 32.3 ± 7.52 , 18.6 ± 2.33 , 20.0 ± 1.24 µSv/a in the groundwater of Lower Dir, Upper Dir, and 197 Chitral districts, respectively as shown in (Fig. 3abc). Among age groups, the infants were most 198 vulnerable to the non-cancer health risk posed by ²²²Rn excessive concentration in 199 groundwater. The concentration of ²²²Rn in the groundwater of the Lower Dir district posed a 200 higher risk to the dependent community via both ingestion and inhalation. Exposure risk 201 through inhalation was found more severe for infants compared to the ingestion route. The 202 203 inhalation route posed a serious threat to different age groups for all the study areas compared to ingestion. The groundwater of the Lower Dir district has a significant potential for 204 detrimental impacts on infants' health via ingestion and inhalation than other districts. Higher 205 206 risk for infants, children, and adults were compared to previous studies (Ismail et al., 2021; 207 Muhammad et al., 2020). However, the EwTotal values were noted as less than the previously conducted study on hot springs in Gilgit-Baltistan by Ullah et al. (2022) as shown in Table 2. 208 According to WHO guidelines of drinking water for safe annual mean exposure dose limit, the 209 water in the area was found below the recommended limits of 100 μ Sv/a for the human. 210

This study calculated the ELCR for adults of the population exposed to the ²²²Rn via 211 212 groundwater of Lower Dir, Upper Dir, and Chitral districts (Fig. 4ab). The US EPA set a 213 maximum threshold of 1E10-4 for ELCR for adults, beyond this limit it has serious consequences on human health. Results revealed that ELCR values for the lower Dir, Upper 214 Dir, and Chitral districts were less than the US EPA threshold except for a few instances in the 215 Lower Dir district. This means that the groundwater of the area was 100% safe in the Upper 216 Dir and Chitral districts in terms of ²²²Rn contaminations. However, 30% of groundwater 217 218 samples showed higher values that needs attention from the policymakers.

Basic water parameters such as Temperature, pH, EC, Turbidity, ORP, and TDS are important 220 indicators of water quality and its status for different services to humans. This study examined 221 various physical parameters of water to know the status of its quality and correlated to ²²²Rn 222 concentration in drinking water sources (Fig. 5). Basic water parameters determined were pH 223 (7-7.6), temperature (18.5-30.1°C), EC (303-1415 μS/m), salinity (0.15-0.71 μ/L), ORP (220-224 225 292 mV), and turbidity (0.76-2.8 NTU) for the water of Lower Dir and were found in the range for pH (6.4-7.8), temperature (14.4-26°C), EC (49-477 µS/m), salinity (0.03-0.25 µ/L), ORP 226 (253-306 mV), and turbidity (0.6-8.4 NTU) for the water of Upper Dir. The results showed that 227 15.3% of turbidity values surpassed the threshold limit of 5 NTU for water quality. The 228 maximum variation was detected for EC in the water of Lower Dir and all the other parameters 229 show a normal trend with gentle variation for the water of Lower and Upper Dir districts. 230 Similarly, for the water of Chitral, basic water parameters values were 6.7-7.6, 17.1-23°C, 105-231 1860 µS/m, 0.05-0.92 µ/L, 245-313 mV, and 0.94-4 NTU for pH, temperature, EC, salinity, 232 ORP and turbidity, respectively. The variation in the minimum and maximum values for EC 233 234 were pronounced in the water of Chitral and other parameters were found in normal trend. Statistical correlations were determined between the physical basic parameters of water and 235 ²²²Rn concentration which showed no strong correlation for all the parameters, except for ORP. 236 237 This finding was in contrast to the previous study of (Muhammad and Haq, 2023). Where the dominant factor on the solubility of ²²²Rn in the water was temperature and revealed strong 238 positive correlation. 239

240 4. Conclusion

This study concluded that the average ²²²Rn concentration in the groundwater of Lower Dir,
Upper Dir, and Chitral districts was found within the threshold limits set by USEPA and WHO.

However, 30% of the Lower Dir district water samples were found with higher levels than 243 recommended values, which can pose detrimental health impacts to the exposed human 244 community. Results revealed higher values of EwIng, EwInh, and EwTotal via groundwater 245 consumption to the infants than other age groups. Results showed that the inhalation pathway 246 was the dominant way to affect the health of dependent populations of different age groups. 247 The studied physiochemical parameters showed a weak correlation with ²²²Rn concentration in 248 249 the groundwater. The maximum average ELCR values were also noted for the Lower Dir district. Therefore, this study recommended the aeration or heating of groundwater especially 250 251 for drinking purposes.

Acknowledgements The authors sincerely appreciate funding from the Researchers
Supporting Project # RSPD2024R666, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Mr. Adnan
Khan is acknowledged for his support in field work.

Funding The authors sincerely appreciate funding from the Researchers Supporting Project #
RSPD2024R666, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

257 Conflict of Interest *The authors declare no competing interests.*

Author Contribution S. Muhammad Supervision, performed data analyses, drafted and edited the manuscript. R. Ullah & S. Amin data curation, data analyses, and edited the manuscript. A. Ahmad, Funding, technical support, performed data analyses and edited this manuscript.

262 **Data Availability** *The data supporting this study's findings are available from the* 263 *corresponding author upon reasonable request.*

264

265 **References**

- Ali, N., Khan, E.U., Akhter, P., Khan, F., Waheed, A., 2010. Estimation of mean annual
 effective dose through radon concentration in the water and indoor air of Islamabad and
 Murree. Radiation Protection Dosimetry 141, 183-191.
- Asare-Donkor, N.K., Poku, P.A., Addison, E.C.D.K., Wemengah, D.D., Adimado, A.A., 2018.
 Measurement of radon concentration in groundwater in the Ashanti region of Ghana.
 Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry 317, 675-683.
- Baig, S.A., Mahmood, Q., Nawab, B., Hussain, A., Nafees, M., 2010. Assessment of seasonal
 variation in surface water quality of Chitral River, North West Frontier Province
 (NWFP), Pakistan. World Applied Sciences Journal 9, 674-680.
- Benà, E., Ciotoli, G., Petermann, E., Bossew, P., Ruggiero, L., Verdi, L., Huber, P., Mori, F.,
 Mazzoli, C., Sassi, R., 2024. A new perspective in radon risk assessment: Mapping the
 geological hazard as a first step to define the collective radon risk exposure. Science of
 The Total Environment 912, 169569.
- Bossew, P., Cinelli, G., Ciotoli, G., Crowley, Q.G., De Cort, M., Elío Medina, J., Gruber, V.,
 Petermann, E., Tollefsen, T., 2020. Development of a geogenic radon hazard index—
 concept, history, experiences. International Journal of Environmental Research and
 Public Health 17, 4134.
- Büyükuslu, H., Özdemir, F.B., Öge, T.Ö., Gökce, H., 2018. Indoor and tap water radon
 (222Rn) concentration measurements at Giresun University campus areas. Applied
 Radiation and Isotopes 139, 285-291.
- Chen, L., Zhu, G., Lin, X., Li, R., Lu, S., Jiao, Y., Qiu, D., Meng, G., Wang, Q., 2024. The
 complexity of moisture sources affects the altitude effect of stable isotopes of
 precipitation in inland mountainous regions. Water Resources Research 60,
 e2023WR036084.
- Duenas, C., Fernandez, M., Enríquez, C., Carretero, J., Liger, E., 1998. Natural radioactivity
 levels in Andalusian spas. Water Research 32, 2271-2278.
- Duggal, V., Mehra, R., Rani, A., 2013. Determination of 222Rn level in groundwater using a
 RAD7 detector in the Bathinda district of Punjab, India. Radiation Protection
 Dosimetry 156, 239-245.
- Duong, V.-H., Nguyen Dinh, C., Chu Trung, T., Nguyen Quoc, H., Xuan-Doc, D., Bui Thi,
 T.H., Nguyen Thi, H.H., Nguyen Thi, O., Dinh Viet, H., Nguyen Quang, C., Hoang
 Dinh, Q., Musthafa, M.S., Duong Van, T., Tran, H.-N., Hegedűs, M., Kovács, T., 2024.
 222Rn in selected waters sources from Quang Nam Da Nang region Central part of
 Vietnam. Journal of Radiation Research and Applied Sciences 17, 100756.
- Fan, Z., Shan, J., Lin, F., Hu, T., Mo, Y., Xie, R., Yuan, S., Liu, S., Li, H., Yi, H., Sun, J., Wu,
 J., Liu, Z., Chen, L., Yuan, H., Tan, Y., 2023. Developing a radon monitor for
 simultaneous measurement of Rn-222 and Rn-220 with less influence of humidity
 based on electrostatic collection and CR-39 detector. Nuclear Instruments and Methods
 in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated
 Equipment 1052, 168285.
- Gong, H., Hu, J., Rui, X., Wang, Y., Zhu, N., 2024. Drivers of change behind the spatial
 distribution and fate of typical trace organic pollutants in fresh waste leachate across
 China. Water Research 263, 122170.
- Grządziel, D., Kozak, K., Mazur, J., Mroczek, M., 2021. Radon (Rn-222)—Natural radioactive
 gas and its unnatural effect on human health, First International Meeting for Applied
 Geoscience & Energy. Society of Exploration Geophysicists, pp. 3245-3249.

- Haroon, H., Muhammad, S., 2022. Spatial distribution of radon concentration and annual
 effective dose for age groups from groundwater in Mirpur District Pakistan.
 Groundwater for Sustainable Development 17, 100734.
- Hasan, Z., Ullah, S., Rasheed, S., Kakar, A., Ali, N., 2015. Ichthyofaunal diversity of river
 Panjkora, district Dir lower, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. The Journal of Animal and Plant
 Sciences 25, 550-563.
- Ijaz, J., Ali, W., Muhammad, S., Ullah, H., Ather, D., Ud Din, I., 2023. Annual effective dose
 estimation of radon in drinking water sources of Nizampur basin, North Western
 Pakistan. Isotopes in Environmental and Health Studies 59, 539-553.
- Isinkaye, M.O., Agbi, J.I., Lewicka, S., Orosun, M.M., Faweya, E.B., Matthew-Ojelabi, F.,
 Ajiboye, Y., 2023. Radiotoxicity and health risk assessment of 222Rn in groundwater
 using statistical and Monte Carlo simulation approaches. Groundwater for Sustainable
 Development 21, 100924.
- Ismail, N.F., Hashim, S., Sanusi, M.S.M., Abdul Rahman, A.T., Bradley, D.A., 2021. Radon
 levels of water sources in the southwest coastal region of Peninsular Malaysia. Applied
 Sciences 11, 6842.
- Jamir, S., Sahoo, B.K., Mishra, R., Sinha, D., 2023. Estimation of radon in groundwater and
 analysis of radon and thoron exhalation rates of the soil in Mokokchung district,
 Nagaland, India. Groundwater for Sustainable Development 20, 100874.
- Kessongo, J., Bahu, Y., Inácio, M., Peralta, L., Soares, S., 2020. Radon concentration potential
 in Bibala municipality water: Consequences for public consumption. Radiation Physics
 and Chemistry 173, 108951.
- Khan, M.A., Khattak, N.U., Hanif, M., 2022. Radon emission along faults: a case study from
 district Karak, Sub-Himalayas, Pakistan. Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear
 Chemistry 331, 1995-2003.
- Khattak, N., Khan, M., Shah, M., Ali, N., 2014. Radon concentration in drinking water sources
 of the region adjacent to a tectonically active Karak Thrust, southern Kohat Plateau,
 Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. Journal of Radioanalytical Nuclear Chemistry 302,
 315-329.
- KozŁowska, B., Walencik, A., Dorda, J., Zipper, W., 2010. Radon in groundwater and dose
 estimation for inhabitants in Spas of the Sudety Mountain area, Poland. Applied
 Radiation and Isotopes 68, 854-857.
- Le, C.H., Huynh, Nguyen P.T., Nguyen, V.T., Le, Q.B., 2015. Radon and radium concentrations in drinkable water supplies of the Thu Duc region in Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam. Applied Radiation and Isotopes 105, 219-224.
- Manawi, Y., Ahmad, A., Subeh, M., Hushari, M., Bukhari, S., Al-Sulaiti, H., 2023. Evaluation
 of the Radon Levels in the Groundwater Wells of Qatar: Radiological Risk Assessment.
 Water 15, 4026.
- McLaughlin, J.R., King, W.D., Anderson, T.W., Clarke, E.A., Ashmore, J.P., 1993. Paternal
 radiation exposure and leukaemia in offspring: the Ontario case-control study. BMJ:
 British Medical Journal 307, 959.
- Mehnati, P., Doostmohammadi, V., Jomehzadeh, A., 2022. Determination of Rn-222
 concentration and annual effective dose of inhalation in the vicinity of hot springs in
 Kerman province, southeastern Iran. International Journal of Radiation Research 20,
 211-216.
- Muhammad, S., Haq, A., 2023. Spatial distribution of radon contamination in hot springs water
 and its cancer and non-cancer risks in the Hunza-Nagar valley, Pakistan. Environmental
 Geochemistry and Health 45, 5829-5840.

- Muhammad, S., Ullah, N., Ahmed, A., 2024. Spatial distribution of radon concentration and
 risk evaluation through consumption of groundwater in the District of Kotli, Azad
 Jammu and Kashmir. Kuwait Journal of Science 51, 100152.
- Muhammad, S., Ullah, R., Turab, S.A., Khan, M.Y., Khattak, N.U., Khan, M.A., 2020. Radon
 concentration in drinking water and soil after the September 24, 2019, Mw 5.8
 earthquake, Mirpur, Azad Jammu, and Kashmir: an evaluation for potential risk.
 Environmental Science and Pollution Research 27, 32628–32636.
- Rahimi, M., Asadi Mohammad Abadi, A., Jabari Koopaei, L., 2022. Radon concentration in
 groundwater, its relation with geological structure and some physicochemical
 parameters of Zarand in Iran. Applied Radiation and Isotopes 185, 110223.
- Sarwar, A., Ahmad, S.R., Rehmani, M.I.A., Asif Javid, M., Gulzar, S., Shehzad, M.A., Shabbir
 Dar, J., Baazeem, A., Iqbal, M.A., Rahman, M.H.U., 2021. Mapping groundwater
 potential for irrigation, by geographical information system and remote sensing
 techniques: A case study of district Lower Dir, Pakistan. Atmosphere 12, 669.
- Selvasekarapandian, S., Sivakumar, R., Manikandan, N., Ragjunath, V., Kannan, V., Rajaram,
 S., 2002. A study on the radon concentration in water in Coonoor, India. Journal of
 radioanalytical and nuclear chemistry 252, 345-347.
- Seo, S., Ha, W.-H., Kang, J.-K., Lee, D., Park, S., Kwon, T.-E., Jin, Y.W., 2019. Health effects
 of exposure to radon: implications of the radon bed mattress incident in Korea.
 Epidemiology and Health 41, 30754959.
- Shakoor, H., Jehan, N., Khan, S., Khattak, N.U., 2022. Investigation of Radon Sources, Health
 Hazard and Risks assessment for children using analytical and geospatial techniques in
 District Bannu (Pakistan). International Journal of Radiation Biology 98, 1176-1184.
- Shu'aibu, H.u.K., Khandaker, M.U., Baballe, A., Tata, S., Adamu, M.A., 2021. Determination
 of radon concentration in groundwater of Gadau, Bauchi State, Nigeria and estimation
 of effective dose. Radiation Physics and Chemistry 178, 108934.
- Sullivan, M.A., 1992. The geology of the roof-zone of the Kohistan batholith, northwestern
 Pakistan. University of Leicester (United Kingdom).
- Suresh, S., Rangaswamy, D.R., Srinivasa, E., Sannappa, J., 2020. Measurement of radon
 concentration in drinking water and natural radioactivity in soil and their radiological
 hazards. Journal of Radiation Research and Applied Sciences 13, 12-26.
- Sutradhar, S., Mukherjee, J., Mitra, S., Mondal, S., Barman, C., 2023. Radon (Rn-222)
 concentration in ground waters of Bokaro District, Jharkhand, India. Journal of
 Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry 333, 1547-1558.
- Tabar, E., Yakut, H., 2014. Radon measurements in water samples from the thermal springs of
 Yalova basin, Turkey. Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry 299, 311-319.
- Tan, W., Li, Y., Tan, K., Xie, Y., Han, S., Wang, P., 2019. Distribution of radon and risk
 assessment of its radiation dose in groundwater drinking for village people nearby the
 W-polymetallic metallogenic district at Dongpo in southern Hunan province, China.
 Applied Radiation and Isotopes 151, 39-45.
- Thabayneh, K.M., 2015. Measurement of 222Rn concentration levels in drinking water and the
 associated health effects in the Southern part of West bank–Palestine. Applied
 Radiation and Isotopes 103, 48-53.
- Todorovic, N., Nikolov, J., Forkapic, S., Bikit, I., Mrdja, D., Krmar, M., Veskovic, M., 2012.
 Public exposure to radon in drinking water in SERBIA. Applied Radiation and Isotopes
 70, 543-549.
- Ullah, F., Muhammad, S., Ali, W., 2022. Radon concentration and potential risks assessment
 through hot springs water consumption in the Gilgit and Chitral, Northern Pakistan.
 Chemosphere 287, 132323.

- Ullah, S., Javed, M.W., Rasheed, S.B., Jamal, Q., Aziz, F., Ullah, S., 2014a. Assessment of
 groundwater quality of district Dir Lower Pakistan. International Journal of Biosciences
 4, 248-255.
- Ullah, S., Javed, M.W., Shafique, M., Khan, S.F., 2014b. An integrated approach for quality
 assessment of drinking water using GIS: A case study of Lower Dir. Journal of
 Himalayan Earth Science 47, 163-174.
- 415 UNSCEAR, 2000. United Nations Scientific Committee on the effects of atomic radiations.
 416 The General Assembly with Scien-tific Annex, New York.
- 417 UNSCEAR, 2008. (United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiations)
 418 (2008) Report to General Assembly with Scientific annexes. United Nations Sales
 419 Publications. United Nations, New York.
- 420 USEPA, 1999. National recommended water quality criteria. Office of Water Washington, DC.
- Vitz, E., 1991. Toward a standard method for determining waterborne radon. Health Physics
 60, 817-829.
- Wu, J., Liu, S., Hu, T., Lin, F., Xie, R., Yuan, S., Yi, H., Mo, Y., Sun, J., Cheng, L., Li, H.,
 Liu, Z., Fan, Z., Tan, Y., 2024. Measurement of the radon and thoron exhalation rates
 from the water surface of Yixin lake. Nuclear Engineering and Technology 56, 15381543.
- Yakut, H., Tabar, E., Zenginerler, Z., Demirci, N., Ertugral, F., 2013. Measurement of 222Rn
 concentration in drinking water in Sakarya, Turkey. Radiation Protection Dosimetry
 157, 397-406.
- Yao, F., Zhang, H., Gong, Y., 2024. DifSG2-CCL: Image Reconstruction Based on Special
 Optical Properties of Water Body. IEEE Photonics Technology Letters 36, 1417-1420.
- Zhang, W., Zhu, G., Zhao, L., Wang, L., Qiu, D., Ye, L., Lu, S., Lin, X., 2024. Quantifying the
 changes in solute transport caused by human influence on river connectivity in inland
 river basins. CATENA 246, 108360.
- Zhao, Y., Liu, Z., Li, Y., Hu, L., Chen, Z., Sun, F., Lu, C., 2021. A case study of 10 years
 groundwater radon monitoring along the eastern margin of the Tibetan Plateau and in
 its adjacent regions: Implications for earthquake surveillance. Applied Geochemistry
 131, 105014.
- Zhao, Y., Zhang, M., Liu, Z., Ma, J., Yang, F., Guo, H., Fu, Q., 2024. How Human Activities
 Affect Groundwater Storage. Research 7, 0369.
- Zhou, G., Liu, X., 2022. Orthorectification Model for Extra-Length Linear Array Imagery.
 IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing 60, 1-10.
- Zhou, G., Tang, Y., Zhang, W., Liu, W., Jiang, Y., Gao, E., Zhu, Q., Bai, Y., 2023. Shadow
 Detection on High-Resolution Digital Orthophoto Map (DOM) using Semantic
 Matching. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing 60. Doi:
 10.1109/TGRS.2022.3223911.
- Zhou, G., Wang, Q., Huang, Y., Tian, J., Li, H., Wang, Y., 2022. True2 Orthoimage Map
 Generation, Remote Sensing 14, 4396. Doi: 10.3390/rs14174396

451	Table 1. Comparison of ²²² Rn concentration (Bq/L) from the study area with studies conducted	ed
452	worldwide	

Location	Country	²²² Rn concentration	Study reference
Central Vietnam	Vietnam	107±0.91	(Duong et al., 2024)
Nagaland	India	1.82 ± 0.04	(Jamir et al., 2023)
Zarand	Iran	31.5±4.912	(Rahimi et al., 2022)
Bauchi State	Nigeria	38.3±0.0	(Shu'aibu et al., 2021)
Bannu	Pakistan	28±13	(Shakoor et al., 2022)
Bibala	Angola	42±50	(Kessongo et al., 2020)
Dongpo	China	10.5 ± 0.008	(Tan et al., 2019)
University Campus, Giresun	Turkey	27.28 ± 0.98	(Büyükuslu et al., 2018)
Kotli, AJK	Pakistan	$6.04{\pm}1.85$	(Muhammad et al., 2024)
Ho Chi Minh City	Vietnam	0.11 ± 0.01	(Le et al., 2015)
Karak	Pakistan	9.4±0.4	(Khattak et al., 2014)
Novi Sad	Serbia	1.59 ± 1.05	(Todorovic et al., 2012)
Sudety Mountain area	Poland	1703±55	(KozŁowska et al., 2010)
Murree & Islamabad	Pakistan	4.38±0.44	(Ali et al., 2010)
Lower Dir	Pakistan	10.8±3.6	This Study
Upper Dir	Pakistan	6.39 ± 0.98	This Study
Chitral	Pakistan	6.67±1.03	This Study

Table 2. Comparison of annual mean exposure doses of ²²²Rn (μSv/y) in drinking water
between Lower Dir, Upper Dir, and Chitral, Pakistan (current study) and the rest of the
world studies

world stu	ules				
Location	Country	Annual effective		Total	Previous studies
		doses (mSv/a)			
		Inhalation	Ingestion		
Akoko area	Nigeria	160.8	190.5	151.3	(Isinkaye et al., 2023)
Yalova Basin	Turkey	8.06	0.75	8.81	(Tabar and Yakut, 2014)
West Bank	Palestine	4.7	1.60	6.60	(Thabayneh, 2015)
Coonoor	India	0.0033	0.0069	0.0102	(Selvasekarapandian et al., 2002)
Mirpur, AJK	Pakistan	0.045	0.0038	0.049	(Muhammad et al., 2020)
Ashanti region	Ghana	29.72	41.6	71.32	(Asare-Donkor et al., 2018)
Peninsular	Malaysia	0.06	4.45	4.51	(Ismail et al., 2021)
Lower Dir	Pakistan	27.18	5.12	32.30	Current study
Upper Dir	Pakistan	15.66	2.95	18.61	Current Study
Chitral	Pakistan	16.81	3.16	19.97	Current Study



Fig. 1. Sampling points of water in the Lower Dir, Upper Dir, and Chitral, northern Pakistan.



Fig. 2. Radon concentration (Bq/L) in sampling locations of Lower Dir, Upper Dir, and Chitral,
 northern Pakistan. a. Bar diagram of average concentration and b. spatial distribution
 of each sampling point.



468 Fig. 3. Annual mean exposure dose rates (μSv/a): EwIng is the mean effective dose of ²²²Rn ingestion, EwInh is the mean effective dose of ²²²Rn inhalation, and EwTotal is the total mean effective dose of ²²²Rn in Lower Dir, Upper Dir, and Chitral, northern Pakistan;
 471 a. infants, b. children, and c. adults.



474 Fig. 4. ELCR through water consumption of Lower Dir, Upper Dir, and Chitral, northern Pakistan. a. Bar diagram of average ELCR (10⁻³), b. spatial distribution of ELCR (10⁻⁴⁷⁶) for each sampling point.



479 Fig. 5. Correlation of radon concentration with that of other water physical parameters, a. pH,
480 b. Temperature, c. EC, d. ORP, e. Turbidity, and f. Salinity.