Closing the conceptual gap between the unine hyporheic zone and the river corridor UNIVERSITÉ DE NEUCHÂTEL



🖻 Álvaro Pardo-Álvarez¹, 🔀 Jan Fleckenstein², 🖻 Oliver S. Schilling³, 🖻 Nico Trauth², 🖻 Daniel Hunkeler¹ and 🖻 Philip Brunner¹

¹Centre for Hydrogeology and Geothermics, University of Neuchâtel, Neuchâtel, Switzerland (*alvaro.pardo@unine.ch*); ²Centre for Environmental Research - UFZ, Leipzig, Germany; ³Deparment of Geology and Geological Engineering, Laval University, Quebec City, Canada

1. INTRODUCTION

Despite numerous modelling studies on hyporheic exchange have advanced our understanding of general mechanisms, they are often focused on local-scale processes with highly simplified boundary conditions (BC). This may lead to a misrepresentation of the hyporheic exchanges and biogeochemical turnover capacity in river corridors.

To close this conceptual gap, we aim to provide and integrate field and modelling approaches to quantify exchange fluxes and processes between the hyporheic and stream system across different spatial and temporal scales.



HELMHOLTZ

CENTRE FOR

ENVIRONMENTAL

RESEARCH – UFZ

2. STUDY SITE

GROUNDWATER MODELLING

Lowest part of the Upper Emme valley [A=0.9 km²], Emmental, Switzerland

- Extremely dynamic pre-alpine alluvial catchment
- Q_{m an}: 4.4 m³/s (higher during snowmelt)
- Dense continuous monitored measurement network
 - 8 GW abstraction wells (45% of Bern's drinking water)
 - 4 SW gauging stations (10 min interval)
 - +30 piezometers (10-15 min interval)
- $K_{aq} = 550 \text{ m/d}, n_{aq} = 0.1$ $K_{rb} = 2.4 \text{ m/d}, n_{rb} = 0.41$
 - Schilling et al., 2017



Fully coupled surface-subsurface flow and reactive transport model: HGS

- Sequentially coupling: transient streambed pressures obtained from CFD modelling used as BC
- Simulate both exchange flow and biogeochemical turnover in the hyporheic sediments
- Hydraulic Mixing Cell (HMC) flow tracking tool for mixing ratios simulation throughout the entire domain (*Partington et al., 2011*)
- Parameter estimation: **PEST**







3. OBJECTIVES

- 1. Exploring realistic hydraulic boundary conditions for CFD modelling
- 2. Using a highly advanced modelling framework to explore the superposition of different spatial and temporal scales for both synthetic and highly instrumented field based environments (Emmecatchment)
- 3. Integrating innovative field observations (tracer and UAV data) in this modelling process

4. STREAM FLOW SIMULATIONS

Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) code: OpenVFOAM

- Solver: two-phase (air-water) algorithm interFoam based on the volume of fluid (VOF) method
- Transient flow simulation (*Trauth et al., 2017*)
- Local hydraulic head distribution at the streambed

Velocities (U)



6. FIELD DATA

- High-resolution state-of-the-art LIDAR-UAV characterization of the streambed (pre and post-flood event)
- Novel tracer methods (³⁷Ar) in addition to ²²²Rn, ³H/³He and atmospheric novel gases for appropriate model parametrization
- Hydraulic and also UAV– field data

7. OUTLOOK

We expect that simulation results will provide a more realistic representation of exchange flows and reactions between the stream channel, hyporheic zone and the underlying aquifer than by using steady hydraulic boundaries.

8. REFERENCES

[1] Brunner, P., R. Therrien, P. Renard, C. T. Simmons, and H.-J. H. Franssen (2017), Advances in

(201

understanding river-groundwater interactions, Rev. Geophys., 55, doi:10.1002/2017RG000556.

[2] Schilling, O. et al. (2017), Advancing Physically-Based Flow Simulations of Alluvial Systems Through Atmospheric Noble Gases and the Novel 37Ar Tracer Method, Water Resour. Res.

[3] Trauth, N., and J. H. Fleckenstein (2017), Single discharge events increase reactive efficiency of the hyporheic zone, Water Resour. Res., 53, 779–798, doi:10.1002/2016WR019488.

[4] Partington, D., P. Brunner, C. T. Simmons, R. Therrien, A. D. Werner, G. C. Dandy, and H. R. Maier (2011), A hydraulic mixing-cell method to quantify the groundwater component of streamflow within spatially distributed fully integrated surface water-groundwater flow models, Environ. Model. Soft., 26(7), 886–898.

[5] Brunner, P., and C. T. Simmons (2012), HydroGeoSphere: A fully integrated, physically based hydrological model, Ground Water, 50(2), 170–176, doi:10.1111/j.1745-6584.2011.00882.x.

[6] OpenFOAM[®] is licensed under the GNU General Public Licence (GPL) - www.openfoam.com

[7] Doherty, J. E. (2015). Calibration and uncertainty analysis for complex environmental models. PEST: Complete theory and what it means for modelling the real world. Brisbane, Australia: Watermark Numerical Computing.



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement Nº 722028 (ENIGMA ITN).

Computational Methods in Water Resources (CMWR) Session 36: Posters session Saint-Malo, France, 05.06.2018